opportunity to achieve those changes. And the public's input is a vital part of the amendment proposal process. As a matter of understanding, the Charter Commission may propose amendments to the Charter. These amendments must be approved by a majority of Maui County voters during an election.

Again, copies of the Maui County Charter and amendments are available to the public tonight with Lisa Kahuhu, if you need one.

I am going to begin with oral testimony, but, before I begin, I'd like to go over our oral testimony rules. We'll call up each public testifier in the order that they registered to testify. Each person will have three minutes to testify on items concerning our Maui County Charter. If the testifier requires more time, I will grant them an additional minute to complete their testimony. At the end of this additional minute, the testifier will be asked to stop their testimony. And if more time is required on an item, the testifier will be allowed an additional three minutes to complete their testimony following the testimony of all registered testifiers. Following the testifier's testimony, I will ask the Commissioners if they need clarification of the presented testimony.

With that, Lisa, please introduce our first

it's different.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing that I notice -- and if you ever rode with somebody that was in Hana, in their car, you notice that almost every car that passes by, they wave. You know, you wave. And I thought that was kind of neat. Because I tried it in California and I got some stink eye, like fight me. I'm like, hey, how's it going. Okay. That's -- the one thing about that is if your friend waves to you or your neighbor waves to you, somebody in Hana waves to you, and you don't wave back, because you're busy with the kids or you're doing something, the next time they come in the store, they say, eh, you know what, Neal, I saw you on the beach, how come you never wave to me.

And that's direct accountability. And for me, having somebody from Hana represent Hana is -- that's important because of the direct accountability. You can go to his house -- he may not like it -- your councilman, and say, hey, you know what, how come you went vote like that on something, you know. It's -being in the store, I get that a lot. Because our ice machine went out this weekend, it was 4th of July weekend, I was out surfing. And somebody came up to me and said, eh -- we're in the water now -- Neal, we don't have ice, how come you not -- you know, go fix your ice

Page 6

testifier.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KAHUHU: Neal Hasegawa.

CHAIR STONE: Mr. Hasegawa, please. And if you could use the microphone, that would be much appreciated. Thank you very much for coming.

...BEGIN PUBLIC TESTIMONY...

MR. HASEGAWA: Testing. Hi, my name's Neal Hasegawa. I was born and raised here in Hana. Went away to high school, on Oahu, then went to college in California. I came back to work back in the late 1980s with my dad, and I'm now the general manager for the Hasegawa General Store.

It took me a while to appreciate Hana -- and it's by being away from Hana that made me appreciate Hana even more -- and some of the things that are unique to Hana. Hana, like -- it's almost like we're on a different island than the rest of Maui, just because of the Hana Highway. And we're -- we're kind of, in many respects, treated like a different island.

And I'll give you an example. If I own a grocery store in Kahului or Lahaina, Frito-Lay will come and stock my shelves, Meadow Gold will come and stock my shelves, all of that. For us, we got to go pick up the bread, we got to go pick up the soda. Although Coke and Pepsi, they do come once every two weeks, but, again,

Page 8

Page 7

machine. Again, direct accountability, you know.

I feel more comfortable having somebody from Hana represent Hana. And I think that is -- is important about how we have things now.

And I'm going to have to go because my family is coming back from their vacation. And as a man, again, I procrastinated about cleaning the house and all that stuff. They come back tomorrow. And I'm just like running around like a chicken with no head, trying to clean the house. So thank you again. Thanks for coming, you guys. I really do appreciate this.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you, Mr. Hasegawa. But before you go clean the house, Commissioners, do we have any questions for Mr. Hasegawa?

(Silence.)

CHAIR STONE: I have a question for you. I understand the desire for the accountability in having a representative directly for Hana. How do you feel about voting at-large for that representative?

MR. HASEGAWA: If the person -- whoever it is, if that person -- when you say at-large, meaning all of Maui County?

CHAIR STONE: In other words, Hana people vote for their representative councilmember, but, also, the rest of Maui County votes. So sometimes isn't it

Page 9 Page 11 1 possible that the people that Hana votes for doesn't 1 MEMBER OKAMOTO: I'm from Lanai. And one of 2 2 even -- isn't even elected? the things that we have talked about at different times 3 MR. HASEGAWA: That's true, but the person is 3 was to have a primary vote that would only be in the 4 4 from Hana, you know. So the worst thing would happen in district, just like you used to have republican and 5 5 that same kind of example, we're thrown in with another democrat primaries, so that Hana would select, say, the 6 6 district. Hana's -- you know, you look at how many two people they wanted to run, they would still run 7 7 votes Hana has. And Hana, by itself, will never win if county-wide because of the one man, one vote. Would 8 8 you guys put us with somebody else, yeah. And then it's that be something that seems feasible to you folks? 9 9 MR. HASEGAWA: I don't see anything wrong with going to be the person living someplace else that I will 10 10 never run into going surfing and say, eh, how come you that. 11 11 guys vote like that, you know. So I think the way we CHAIR STONE: Would you say you have a 12 12 have it now, regardless of if it's at-large, the preference, Mr. Hasegawa? 13 13 person's from Hana. MR. HASEGAWA: No. You know, the main 14 CHAIR STONE: Okay. Thank you very much, 14 thing -- my thing is that the person has to be from 15 Commissioners? 15 Hana. 16 MEMBER WIGER: Question. 16 CHAIR STONE: Has to be in the water, okay. 17 17 CHAIR STONE: Question, Commissioner Wiger. Commissioners, any further? Commissioner Baxa. 18 18 MEMBER WIGER: Following up on that, if there MEMBER BAXA: Mr. Hasegawa, I just want to --19 would be a model that could be developed -- because, 19 CHAIR STONE: Sorry. I hate to interrupt. 20 20 right now, there's only been a couple that have been Our court reporter needs people to talk in the mic. I'm 21 21 filed -- if there would be a model that could be sorry, we're going to have to pass it around. 22 22 developed where Hana could have their own representative MEMBER BAXA: I think I got your point, but I 23 that would not be elected at-large, but would be elected 23 just want to be very clear. So long as the 24 2.4 by Hana, how would you feel about that? representative of Hana comes from Hana, it does not 25 MR. HASEGAWA: So you're saying that it's --25 matter whether the person will be elected statewide or Page 10 Page 12 1 the person would be from Hana? 1 just district -- just from Hana? 2 MEMBER WIGER: Yeah, elected by Hana. 2 MR. HASEGAWA: Are we talking County Council 3 MR. HASEGAWA: And just Hana would vote for 3 or are we talking like legislature? 4 4 MEMBER BAXA: No, no. Just the -that person? 5 5 MEMBER WIGER: Yeah. MEMBER OKAMOTO: He means County. 6 MR. HASEGAWA: I think that would work, too. 6 MR. HASEGAWA: So you mean, not State, but --7 MEMBER WIGER: So if there was something 7 MEMBER BAXA: Let us limit it to the Council. 8 8 that -- whatever would be developed like that, that's MEMBER DELEON: County-wide. 9 9 something you would be comfortable with because you're MR. HASEGAWA: Okay. So what I'm hearing you 10 10 still talking about direct accountability? saying is that it's -- the person that is elected is 11 MR. HASEGAWA: Yes. I think that's -- the 11 from Hana, that's what --12 whole point about what I'm saying is the direct 12 CHAIR STONE: That would be, obviously, the 13 accountability. The guy is from Hana, the person is 13 prerequisite? 14 14 from Hana. MR. HASEGAWA: Right. Right. Right. 15 MEMBER DEREGO: Can I ask you a question as a 15 MEMBER BAXA: But it does not matter whether 16 follow-up to that? 16 he will be elected statewide or just from within Hana? 17 CHAIR STONE: Commissioner DeRego. 17 MR. HASEGAWA: I don't --18 18 MEMBER DEREGO: But you're thinking about the CHAIR STONE: In your opinion? 19 Council, right? You would have to have a councilmember 19 MEMBER BAXA: Your opinion. 20 20 that would be the representative, correct? MR. HASEGAWA: I don't understand the 21 MR. HASEGAWA: Yes. Yes. 21 statewide thing. 22 CHAIR STONE: Commissioners, any further 22 CHAIR STONE: I'm sorry. County-wide. 23 23 MEMBER DELEON: He's mistaken. questions? 24 MEMBER OKAMOTO: Yes. 24 MEMBER BAXA: County-wide. 25 CHAIR STONE: Go ahead. 25 MR. HASEGAWA: Yeah. To me, it doesn't

	D 10		D 15
	Page 13		Page 15
1	matter	1	MR. HASEGAWA: Yes.
2	MEMBER BAXA: Okay.	2	MEMBER CRIVELLO: Thank you.
3	MR. HASEGAWA: as long as the person is	3	CHAIR STONE: Commissioners, any other
4	from Hana.	4	questions before he has to go clean? He is going to get
5	MEMBER BAXA: Thank you.	5	in trouble soon.
6	MR. HASEGAWA: Or East Maui, I should say.	6	(Silence.)
7	CHAIR STONE: Commissioner DeLeon.	7	CHAIR STONE: Okay. Thank you so much for
8	MEMBER DELEON: I understand your concern.	8	coming.
9	What would you say to the folks in, say, Kihei, in other	9	MR. HASEGAWA: Thank you guys.
10	words, where there's about 25,000 people being	10	CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Lisa, next
11	represented by one person and and Lanai, Molokai and	11	testifier, please.
12	Hana together make up seven percent of the total	12	MS. KAHUHU: John Blumer-Buell.
13	population of the County? How do you justify that kind	13	MR. BLUMER-BUELL: Aloha.
14	of spread of representation? You get to talk to the guy	14	CHAIR STONE: Thank you very much for coming
15	on the beach, the guy in Hana. I mean, the guy in Kihei	15	MR. BLUMER-BUELL: Aloha, Chair Stone. And
16	probably will never even get to meet the person. So is	16	mahalo to all of you. It's really impressive to see all
17	that fair? Do you feel like that's fair it works out	17	of you out here, particularly from Molokai and Lanai.
18	that way?	18	And I just want to thank you for this task that you're
19	MR. HASEGAWA: So you're saying if there were	19	undertaking. I think it's very important. And I've
20	more people to represent Kihei because of the	20	heard so many meetings haven't been that well-attended,
21	population, would they still be able to run into	21	but, let me tell you, it's very important and people
22	MEMBER DELEON: I'm not saying that. I'm	22	will pay more and more attention to it.
23	asking you whether it's fair to have such a small	23	I've given you written testimony. I guess
24	portion of the population, Lanai, Molokai and Hana, make	24	I'll just ask the Chair to let me know when it's three
25	up seven percent of the population total, they get 33	25	minutes, and I'll stop at four and maybe come back.
	Page 14		Page 16
1	percent of the Council seats.	1	So I thank you for your service on the Charter
2	MR. HASEGAWA: I think it's fair for Hana.	2	Commission. I would urge you to fully discuss these and
3	You know, I cannot speak for Molokai. In terms of	3 .	other ideas you receive from the residents of Maui
4	representation for Kihei and that stuff, you know, I	4	County and to let the voters decide. I think that's
5	think Kihei will get what Kihei wants, you know. For	5	really an important point. If you're a little unsure
6	me, looking at how the monies is divided, Hana sometimes	6	about things, I say let the voters decide, let the
7	is left out because, when they look at how much people	7	debate happen within the community. And I think that's
8	they serve, the Council as a whole really says, oh, wait	8	very important.
9	a minute, you know, there's a project on in Kihei	9	The first thing I'd like to see is the Charter
10	that serves X-amount of people, there's a project in	10	change so we have a telecommunications center for Hana
11	Hana that serves X-amount of people, which one is more	11	Lanai and Molokai. Requiring by County Charter
12	viable. So they'll go with the Kihei project, you know.	12	interactive communication with the Maui County Council
13	So I think it's I think it's fair.	13	and other government entities is a long overdue idea
14	I think it's not going to be fair if you take	14	that was expected to be implemented more than a decade
15	that seat away from Hana, yeah. Then it gets diluted	15	ago. The idea is cost-effective, and the infrastructure
16	even more. We get lost, you know. We gonna get really	16	is nearly in place with Akaku Television and University
17	lost for representation.	17	of Hawaii Maui campus. It is difficult and costly for
18	CHAIR STONE: Commissioner.	18	residents of Hana, Lanai and Molokai to participate in
19	MEMBER CRIVELLO: Thank you. Just for	19	our County government.
20	clarification purpose. So what we see going on today	20	The late Carl Lindquist, former member of
21	and the way we elect our Council people, in your	21	Governor Lingle's Maui Advisory Committee, attended at
22	opinion, is working for Hana?	22	least one meeting via SkyBridge from Hana. Hana
23	MR. HASEGAWA: Yes.	23	students from the University of Hawaii Maui campus
20			stadents from the Chiversity of Havian Man Pas
24	MEMBER CRIVELLO: The way we elect our Council	24	regularly attend classes via SkyBridge from Hana.

today?

25

25

Should this democratic infrastructure idea be

Page 17 Page 19 1 built into the democratic Charter of the County of Maui? 1 13 members based upon the population of Molokai. And 2 2 I say absolutely. Molokai would have its own seat, but it would increase 3 3 This is one that's very justifiable in terms the size of the County Council. I will stop for now. 4 of what it could do. You can also have meetings, 4 CHAIR STONE: Thank you very much. Lisa, just 5 5 interdepartmental meetings. We almost have the let me know when three minutes are up. Say, "Three 6 6 infrastructure in place already. We did talk about this 7 7 during the GPAC. And Clyde Sakamoto did comment about MS. KAHUHU: Okay. 8 8 this as well. This is very -- it's almost there CHAIR STONE: Commissioners, any questions or 9 9 already. clarifications on the testimony? Yes, Commissioner 10 10 Number two, District Voting: I urge you to Baxa. 11 allow the voters to decide the question of district 11 MEMBER BAXA: Sir, my question pertains to the 12 12 voting. To date, there are three proposals for district residency requirement for candidates running for office. 13 13 You state here that candidates should be required to voting I have heard of. Please keep the community 14 posted regarding what options you are considering via 14 have lived and voted in the district. Do I understand 15 your website and other media. It is important to put 15 that to mean that he must presently live in the district 16 the best proposal, or proposals, on the ballot next 16 and have voted in the district? 17 year. Please give the voters the choice regarding 17 MR. BLUMER-BUELL: My proposal is very simple 18 district voting. 18 And that is simply to require people that are running 19 And at this point, the three that I'm aware 19 from the district to have lived in the district and 20 of, the model that seems the least confusing -- and I'm 20 voted there in the previous election. 21 21 not endorsing it -- this model of three representative MEMBER BAXA: Yeah. 22 22 districts based upon the State Senate model we already MR. BLUMER-BUELL: And it's just so simple. 23 23 have in the system right now. I've also heard of the My feeling is that -- although I'm not pointing fingers 24 model being proposed where we have six representatives 24 and it's a long tradition of this in the County, I think 25 25 elected from the House on the model based upon -it would be much fairer and democratic to make this part Page 18 Page 20 1 MS. KAHUHU: Time. of the Charter so we don't have people jumping around. 1 2 2 CHAIR STONE: Sorry, John. Sorry to cut you MEMBER BAXA: Yeah. It looks like I'm playing 3 3 off. That's time. You are welcome to come back after semantics here, but I think it is very important. When 4 the other testifiers -you said the word "live," it may mean that he may have 5 MR. BLUMER-BUELL: I will. 5 lived in the past, but not necessarily in the present. 6 CHAIR STONE: -- for another three minutes. 6 MR. BLUMER-BUELL: Right. 7 MR. BLUMER-BUELL: Okay. 7 MEMBER BAXA: He must presently live in the 8 8 CHAIR STONE: But before you hand over the district and then have voted some point, you know. 9 9 microphone, Commissioners, questions? MR. BLUMER-BUELL: In the last election. So 10 10 MEMBER OKAMOTO: Josh, can you give him a it means, you know, that you -- that you've lived in the 11 minute to wrap up? 11 district for probably a couple years and can show that 12 12 CHAIR STONE: I believe that that was four you voted and live there. And this is just to, you 13 13 minutes. Correct, Lisa? know, I think make things more democratic and to get 14 MS. KAHUHU: That was three minutes. 14 representatives from the districts which they live. 15 CHAIR STONE: Oh, three minutes. I am so 15 MEMBER BAXA: Okay. Thank you. 16 sorry. You have one more minute. 16 CHAIR STONE: Commissioners, any further 17 MR. BLUMER-BUELL: The second model that I was 17 questions for the testifier? Commissioner DeLeon. 18 18 talking about was based upon the current model of the MEMBER DELEON: I agree with you on that 19 State House. And that will give us six representatives 19 concept of telecommunication. Do you have any idea

MR. BLUMER-BUELL: I think I would depend upon

your creative and excellent thinking to find a place. I

mean, it would have something to do with the operation

and charter of government. I know that we talked about

this and other ideas for making government work more

20

21

22

23

2.4

where in the Charter that would land?

20

21

22

23

24

25

from the six districts plus three at-large members. And

I'm not sure if the at-large part of it wouldn't confuse

the voters at this point. I'm not sure -- I like the

model, but I'm not sure it wouldn't confuse people.

I've heard the other model is from Harold

Piltz, aka Nikhilananda, which I think he is proposing

(Silence.)

25

25

Lahaina that do it. I understand that. But at least

there's one person here who understands Hana, one person on the Council that will understand Hana and be able to represent Hana's interests well.

Moreover, during the election season, because it's at-large, all nine members generally -- not nine members -- the candidates for all nine seats generally

MS. KAHUHU: Three minutes.

CHAIR STONE: Continue.

MR. MARDFIN: Okay. I have one more minute on this.

And that lets them get a feeling you get to meet them. The Hana -- the Hana councilman would go to Kihei and Lahaina at that time during the same election cycle and get to meet people. But the most important thing is we get nine votes. Each person in IIana, each person in every spot of the island gets nine votes. We get to go to -- when an issue is important to us, we can go to all nine councilmen and say, "We're your

20 constituents." Now, we have to persuade them, but at 21 least we have the opportunity to persuade them. If we

22 only have one person that's supposed to be representing

Hana instead of all nine, we convince that one person, you still need four more votes to get the five to pass

you still need four more votes to get the five to pass 25 anything.

Page 26

Page 28

So I love the at-large system. And I think it's actually good for everybody. Although, I do understand why some districts feel that they don't get to select their --

MS. KAHUHU: Time.

CHAIR STONE: You're welcome to come back after the other testifiers. Okay. Thank you very much for your testimony. Commissioners, do you have any questions?

(Silence.)

CHAIR STONE: Wait until the follow up? Okay. Thank you so much for your time. Much appreciated.

MS. KAHUHU: Chair, there's no one else signed up for public testimony.

CHAIR STONE: Okay. Before we look into the second round of public testimony, is there anyone here that would like to come up that has anything to say before we move on? Please. Just for the record, state your name.

MR. BALLANTYNE: Ian Ballantyne from Hana Tropicals. Yeah, I got two points.

First of all, regarding the term for two years, I would definitely think that, in this day and age, with the cost of elections and the time it takes, that that's kind of old-fashioned. And I would actually

advocate a five-year term. And then four years could be spent on the incumbent and getting things done and then there's one year to get reelected, where the mold is one year to get something going and one year to get reelected, which doesn't seem reasonable, with the cost. First point.

The second point is, all the independent commissions should be under the Mayor's Office, in my opinion. At the moment, there's no way to appeal a decision by a commission. And it needs an extra tier there so that if something is going wrong within that commission, there's a way to appeal that.

Just a few examples: In the Liquor
Commission, it's the only place in the world I know that
you can't dance just because it's a liquor license.
It's the only place in the world I know that does not
allow wine tasting in a store that sells wine. It's the
only place in the world that I know that you're not
allowed to sell liquor below cost.

These are all things that, in my opinion, there should be a way to challenge that. And there isn't. I mean, whoever is incumbent in that Liquor Commission, until they leave, that's not going to change.

The other thing is, this is about the most

expensive place, again, in the United States, from what

 2 I can gather, in the taxes that are collected to fund

3 the Liquor Commission. In California, it's \$850 a year

for a liquor license. Here, if you're a big seller of
 liquor, it can be \$40,000. And all that money is kept

6 by the Liquor Commission. If it was under the Mayor's

7 Office, part of that could go into the general coffer.

8 And that would be very useful, I think. At the moment, 9 it's all spent on visiting the establishments and trying

to catch them, which I don't think is very democratic,either.

So I think all the commissions should be under the Mayor's Office. Thank you.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you very much.

Commissioners, any questions, clarification? Yes, commissioner DeRego.

MEMBER DEREGO: When you're talking about the five-year terms, are you talking about two five-year terms? Now, it's, you know, every two years, and they have an upper limit of 10. Are you talking about one

have an upper limit of 10. Are you talking about one
 five-year term?
 MR. BALLANTYNE: You know, I haven't

considered that. No. I would consider two five-year terms.

MEMBER DEREGO: Thank you,

Page 29 Page 31 CHAIR STONE: Commissioners, any further 1 1 and what impacts that will be on their own lives. Once 2 clarification? 2 the proposals start to hit the table, I'm sure we'll 3 3 (Silence.) have a lot more input. But this is the time when we 4 CHAIR STONE: Okay. Thank you very much for really do need the input. So thank you for coming. 5 5 MR. RAINER: Just for whatever it is worth, I your testimony. Any other member of the public that 6 6 would like to give oral testimony before we go to the will back everything Ward Mardfin has proposed. I come 7 7 next round? from a political family back in England. I have seen a 8 MR. RAINER: Andrew Rainer. 8 lot of attempts at constitutional change of one sort or 9 CHAIR STONE: I'm sorry. What is your name? 9 another on all levels. There are nearly always a row of 10 10 MR. RAINER: Andrew Rainer. unintended consequences coming out of them. The CHAIR STONE: Thank you, Andrew. 11 principle must be, if it ain't broke, don't fix it. 11 12 MR. RAINER: Unlike the other gentleman behind 12 Thank you. 13 13 me, I'm not qualified to speak on behalf of Hana. I've CHAIR STONE: Thank you very much for your 14 been here less than four years. And I'm here a little 14 time. Commissioners, any questions or clarifications on 15 more as a mirror than a speaker. I'm editor of the 15 that testimony? Commissioner DeLeon. 16 16 local newspaper, Hanaside News. And we have only four MEMBER DELEON: Now, we would be happy to try 17 17 editions a year. Next one will probably go to press to get some information to you, if you want to start a 18 18 about middle of August. I want to know if it is still dialogue in the paper, or some other way of 19 possible to submit testimony or thoughts to you after 19 communicating. 20 that date, therefore we can put something in the paper? 20 And for the record, I personally believe our 21 21 What is your procedure from here on? elective system is broken. So that's why I'm on this 22 CHAIR STONE: At the moment, all testimony is 22 board, to try to see what we can do about it. 23 welcome, whether it be -- all testimony is welcome, 23 MR. RAINER: Accepted. CHAIR STONE: Thank you very much. 24 24 whether it be written, oral. In our agenda tonight, we 25 Commissioners, any further clarification? 25 have listed all the ways that the public can communicate Page 32 with us. All testimony will be brought forward and 1 (Silence.) 1 2 discussed in the Charter Commission. At a certain 2 CHAIR STONE: No. Okay. Great. Again, I will put the question out there to anybody in the public 3 point, we're going to have what we call, basically, a 3 4 4 cutoff point where we're going to have to start putting if they would like to come forward before we go to the 5 5 our own proposals together based on the testimony. But next round of testifying. No? 6 that will be closer towards Christmastime. (Silence.) 7 7 CHAIR STONE: Okay. With that said, John, I MR. RAINER: So that timetable will encompass 8 8 further testimony after -believe, you were the first person that wanted to come 9 9 CHAIR STONE: Our plan is, once we take all back. 10 the public input and all of the County input, we will 10 MR. BLUMER-BUELL: Can you hear me? 11 put proposals together, those proposals will become 11 CHAIR STONE: Yes. 12 official amendment proposals, which then we'll go back 12 MR. BLUMER-BUELL: I had a question from Judge 13 to the community. And the community will have an 13 Baxa on Question Number 3, and so I am going to start 14 14 opportunity again, as we're doing now, to discuss the with 4 on my list. 15 proposals that the Charter Commission is about to put 15 Hana Planning Commission. The Hana Advisory 16 Committee to the Maui Planning Commission recently 16 forward. So there will be another round of public 17 17 input. But before that point, following August, it recommended that you take a look, hard look, at this and 18 discuss it. This is an idea with self-determination 18 would be fantastic if we could get more public input. 19 19 So, yes, we welcome as much input as we can get. benefits for the residents of Hana District. 20 MR. RAINER: As you see, our turnout is a 20 The Hana Advisory Committee to the Maui 21 little on the thin side today. And it may be possible 21 Planning Commission was established around 1988, after a 22 22 to get some more voices heard. controversial land use dispute. That was a proposal 23 23 CHAIR STONE: Actually, it's probably one of brought forward by Thelma Santos -- Velma Santos. 24 our better turnouts. So I think what we're seeing here 24 Pardon me. It is time for these decisions to be made by

is people don't understand what the proposals are yet

25

25

community members of the East Maui District. A Hana

1 the districts individually, in that district only. One

Planning Commission, effectively administered, should be cost-effective for all taxpayers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I think Ward disagrees with me, but we can -- I can cite you a long list of successes and failures of the Hana Advisory Committee. And I think it's important for this community to realize the importance of standing up in Hana.

I don't buy the argument that you get two bites at the apple, one in Hana and one at the Planning Commission, if we are just having an advisory committee. We need to get it right in Hana. And the burden is on this committee. And I think we'll get more people showing up for participation.

The fifth one is the June 13th, 2011 proposal by Commissioner Dave DeLeon, create single-member districts, and Lanai and Molokai island boards. I think the idea of Lanai and Molokai having boards is interesting, but I would definitely want to see Hana included in that idea. I do feel like we're an island out here. So I would encourage you to look at that and really flesh it out. I'd be curious to see if Dave can flesh it out more.

I would like to know exactly what Dave's talking about with creating single-member districts. I would like that to be clear exactly what Dave's talking 2

- man, one vote concept. The island boards being based on
- 3 the concept trying to move from the idea of a township.
- 4 We don't really have townships in Hawaii. The smallest
- 5 unit of government tends to be in a county government.
- Trying to bring it down to a lower level, because we do
- 7 have these isolated communities, and trying to provide
- 8 -- the reason why I did Lanai and Molokai is because I
- 9 think they have a unique problem different than Hana's.
- 10 Hana's history, elective history, has been one of
- 11 competition, wins, losses, and not sticking to the seat
- 12 because they got other pressures. It's not been the
- 13 same on Lanai and Molokai. Goro Hokama served on the
- 14 seat on Lanai for 40 years, often losing his district.
- 15 He was imposed upon the people of Lanai. His son now
- 16 holds that seat the second term around, the same thing.
- 17 The guy -- the current councilmember from Molokai has 18
- not had a challenger in four elections. To me, that's a 19
- broken system. We have people who are running our 20
- government or serving on a board that have the oversight 21 of our government who were, basically, not elected.

22 MR. BLUMER-BUELL: Mr. Chair, may I ask Dave 23 one question?

24 CHAIR STONE: Of course.

25 MR. BLUMER-BUELL: Dave, how many districts

3

4

6

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

about in terms of number of seats and where the powers are going to be divided up. And I do -- I would really like to see all these models at some point.

I'll see if I can dig up from my files -- I've participated in several of these charter commissions. I've saved "The Maui News" from the one probably 20 years ago. And so there's been a lot of ideas come forward. I really would like to see you come forward with some idea to give the voters a choice for district

The ethics, I think that's a really very clear statement, if you read that.

MS. KAHUHU: Three minutes.

very good to have it written as well as oral.

MR. BLUMER-BUELL: Thank you.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you for your testimony. Also, I want to say thank you for writing it because this goes into our public records. And when our analyst reviews the proposals, we have it written down. So it's

Commissioners, any questions for clarification? I'm sure Commissioner DeLeon has something to say there.

MEMBER DELEON: Hi, John. In reply, I'm sorry I wasn't clear. What I meant was single-member equally-proportioned districts voted on by the people of

Page 36

Page 35

are you talking about? I mean, I've heard proposals 2 for --

MEMBER DELEON: Nine.

MR. BLUMER-BUELL: What's that?

5 MEMBER DELEON: Nine.

MR. BLUMER-BUELL: Nine, okay.

7 MEMBER DELEON: So it would be districts of 8 about 16,000 to 17,000 each.

CHAIR STONE: Commissioners, any further questions?

MEMBER OKAMOTO: Yes.

CHAIR STONE: Yes, Commissioner.

MEMBER OKAMOTO: Under "Ethics," because I 13 14 think that that is, obviously, an issue that we need to 15 look at, do you have specific language that you feel 16 should be put in? Obviously, it is ambiguous to say 17 right and wrong.

MR. BLUMER-BUELL: I think I put in my hastily written testimony that telling right from wrong is subjective. So I think we need corporate counsel's best work on this one. For me, my testimony is a conversation. I welcome criticism, calls, suggestions, so we can begin to have a dialogue here with the entire Maui County community. And, you know -- you know, it's obvious that people have different opinions. And,

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 hopefully, we can come around to agreeing on things. I
- 2 think what is important -- and I'll give an
- 3 illustration, without naming anyone -- is that there was
- an elected representative that lied in the County 4
- 5 Council here regarding funding that impacted Hana, about
- 6 \$100,000. And I raised the issue. It was all on tape.
- 7 The transcript was there. And when the Corp Counsel
- 8 called me, they said, well, what part of the Ethics
- 9 Commission -- what part of the Ethics Code are you
- 10 talking about. I said, well, do you know -- I mean, I

11 wasn't being sarcastic. I said, do you know what ethics 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And my definition at the time was the ability to tell right from wrong and tell the truth. And some people may not be able to tell right from wrong, that's subjective. I think we need to have elected officials and people serving the County required to not lie. And I think there should be big penalties for people that get caught in that kind of thing.

So it's not really aimed at something. It would be a minor revision to the ethics part of the County Charter. It's just that one issue. And I think it could help clarify a number of issues.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Commissioner Sugimura.

1 that has great expertise that's on the list, they may 2

say -- they should be working in collaboration with the

mayor, they should discuss it with the mayor and,

hopefully, get the best people in each of the slots.

It's not a big controversy. I just think it would be a

-- just make things work better.

And I know a few people that have volunteered for boards that were very qualified. And nobody -their names never came up and nobody ever knew that they volunteered. So that's all.

MEMBER SUGIMURA: Thank you.

MR. BLUMER-BUELL: Chair, may I make one last suggestion to you?

CHAIR STONE: Sure, please.

MR. BLUMER-BUELL: And that is -- and I say this with a little humor to Susan Moikeha -- please start your deliberations sooner rather than later.

CHAIR STONE: That's great advice.

MR. BLUMER-BUELL: No. We needed to do it a lot sooner with the General Plan Advisory Committee. And I think it would be wise to formulate -- have Corp Counsel help you formulate the steps starting now. If you see an idea that's good, have 'em work on it. So then when it comes up, it's not going to be last minute. And like the GPAC, we didn't have the time, even with an

Page 38

Page 40

```
1
           MEMBER SUGIMURA: Hi, John. My question is on
2
     your last item, which you probably didn't get to cover.
```

- 3 What you're asking for -- please clarify if I'm wrong,
- 4 but what you're asking is for any nominee or anybody who
- 5 said they wanted to volunteer for any boards or
- commission, that entire list should go down to Council 6
- 7 for them to review. Just as kind of a clarification.
- 8 But according to what the Charter says, is that the 9 mayor will send down a list of those nominees and then
 - the Council will choose from that list. So why would
- 10 11 they get the full volunteer, you're calling it list,
- 12 when it wouldn't really impact the decision on the

13 Council?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. BLUMER-BUELL: Just based on my observation of the Council for a long time, and especially in recent years. There's been people that have volunteered for boards and commissions that have come forward during the process that says, well, I volunteered, and the Council goes, well, we don't know that you're a volunteer. So my idea is not to politicize this; it's to make the best qualified people available to serve the people of Maui. This is not a political

The County Council, I think, pretty routinely approves people. If they can see that there is somebody extension.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you. It's much appreciated. And that's understood. Thank you very much. Again, any further questions, please? Commissioner DeLeon.

MEMBER DELEON: John, I don't want to be argumentative; I just want to give you information. As you know, I used to serve as the appointments officer for Mayor Lingle and Mayor Arakawa. And in that capacity, I did have communications with Corp Counsel about that list, the list that's closed as a matter of public record because it acts like a personnel list. And so the fact that somebody submits their name and they're not selected could be seen as a pejorative statement about the person, you know, the fact that they weren't picked. Some people might say, well, I can see you applied, but, boy, they don't want you. So that was the rationale.

There's also a concern that, under our system of government, going back to the County fathers, it's -the executive chooses the person and the -- and the legislative reviews that choice and makes sure it's adequate. And that is their role. Their role is not to pick. If you give them that list, then they'll be picking, guaranteed.

MR. BLUMER-BUELL: I respect the protocol that you worked within. And this is just another issue I've brought forward. I think it's worth discussing.

1 2

You know, I understand Dave's role with the Lingle and Arakawa administrations. And I think he did a great job. I respect what he said, but there is another item to discuss. Thank you.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Commissioners, any further questions? Commissioner Moikeha.

MEMBER MOIKEHA: John, thank you for coming tonight. And my problem is your recommendation to include a Planning Commission for the area of Hana. Is there something within the Hana Advisory Committee, whether it's how the process of selection is or anything else, that prevents it from maybe being more effective, and, hence, you wouldn't need a full-on planning commission?

MR. BLUMER-BUELL: This is a bit of criticism. And it's not aimed at anyone. I recently -- I was -- personally, I was asked by Mayor Tavares, Hannibal Tavares -- I was on the first Hana Advisory Committee, and served on that with, you know, people like Harry Hasegawa and Bob Carroll and Lydia (inaudible) from Keanae. I was also asked to serve a second term during the Lingle Administration. Then I recently got a call

three meetings in a row, let's say unexcused absences, they need to be replaced. So no personal criticism, but there's people that volunteered and then didn't show up for -- after their first meeting they attended. So what you got several times was you had -- you did not even have a quorum for a couple meetings. I wasn't here, but I came to the meetings. There was three people. So there wasn't even a quorum. And that committee was seven members. You need four votes to move anything. So, you know, it's a -- it's a difficult situation. I do think the community will -- so I hope that answers your question. I feel like --

people that volunteer for the position don't show up for

MEMBER MOIKEHA: Yeah. Thank you. I'm just looking at this from the perspective of a little bit of my experience on the Planning Commission and often receiving recommendations from the Hana Advisory Committee. And I don't know if that was just during my term of 2001 to 2006, but, often, we would defer heavily to the Hana representative. And often -- I can't even remember any time we didn't follow a recommendation by the Advisory Committee.

It kind of sounds like there's some issues here that could be resolved administratively or within the department. Especially getting reports on time, and

Page 42

from Arakawa Administration to ask me if I would serve. I said, sure.

But the problem that I have seen over the last several years is the Planning Department is not giving the time that they need to spend in Hana. They need to have the reports ready and we need to have them a week or two in advance. And so it really needs to be, you know, better -- better support and, you know -- and that's so important.

And this is just an example: It's true, some of the -- it was on this short-term rental bill. And it was the proposal the Planning Department put together, a very good, probably, 50-page report. Some of our members didn't get it until the day of the hearing. And I was lucky enough to get it three days before the hearing. But, you know, it's -- and we were told that, well, you've got to make a decision tonight because the Planning Commission's going to hear this next Tuesday. So our option was to say we're not going to -- you know, we're not going to take a position, in which case nothing is said from Hana. We had some flexibility in people's positions because I felt it was worth at least getting the statement out to the Planning Commission.

The other thing, final thing, is that there needs to be some standards in place so that if the

Page 44

trying to get the best people you can that can commit to the time.

Just as a quick question: Do you feel that
having an advisory committee here, and based on previous
experiences and even to date, that they are truly
representing the voice of all of the people of this
area?

MR. BLUMER-BUELL: It's hard for anyone to say they represent all the voices. But when I sit on the Hana Advisory Committee, I depend very heavily on the Hana Community Plan, which was passed in 1994, which included several, maybe two dozen, members from the Hana community. It included going through the Hana Advisory Committee at that time, the Planning Commission at that time and through the County Council at that time. So the Hana Community Plan is a -- I'd say, generally, is a very good plan.

Unfortunately, there's been a failure to implement it in many important areas. So this is, I think, probably a general concern island-wide. And so I think the community -- you know, my experience is that if you really put the responsibility on the community, the community will rise to the occasion. And this is -- I mean, I look at myself as somebody that's participating in our democracy for a long time. My

7

9

23

24

25

3

4

5

Page 47

shortfall is that I don't have time to talk with the kids enough, you know. So it has to be more generational, so people get used to it. Kids in Hana don't grow up knowing community plans, and they would rather go fishing or surfing than go to a meeting. Who can blame 'em?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEMBER MOIKEHA: Thank you. CHAIR STONE: Commissioners, any further

MEMBER OKAMOTO: I have a loud voice. What is the difference in the advisory committee and planning? Are there things that the advisory committee covers that would not be covered in a planning commission?

MR. BLUMER-BUELL: The Planning Commission and we have our former Planning Chair here -- they refer items to the Hana Advisory Committee for our advice, for our opinion. And the Planning Commission oftentimes will refer the issue to Hana for the public hearing.

MEMBER OKAMOTO: But are there any additional items that, if you became a Planning Commission, would not cover that currently the advisory committee does?

MR. BLUMER-BUELL: I think the big difference

23 -- and I stand to be corrected -- is that the final 24 decisions in many of these issues would be final in 25 Hana. And I think that would make -- that would make a 1 I don't have anything in writing. I challenged a few of 2

my Hawaiian friends that is part of the sovereignty

movements to think about this. And that is, is there 3

some way that we can put in the Charter an acknowledgment of the actual history of the Hawaiian 5

people? We had a good resolution passed, I think it was

last year. Bill may have been on the Council. And

8 it -- basically, Sol Kaho'ohalahala had put the

resolution together, working with the reinstated nation

10 of Hawaii folks. And so it was -- it was nothing

controversial; it was a matter of fact reiteration of 11

12 what has happened over the last 100 years. There was

13 nothing actually anybody disagreed with. So can we 14

somehow find a place to acknowledge the -- the Kanaka 15 Maoli in the County Charter and -- and, really, look to

16 the future where I believe there will be

17 self-determination for Hawaiian people in some form. Is

18 there some way you can craft this so that it becomes

19 really a statement of aloha, looking to the future,

20 acknowledging the past? It's a big challenge. And I --

21 I put that challenge to some Hawaiian people.

22 Thank you.

> CHAIR STONE: Commissioners, any further questions or clarification?

> > (Silence.)

Page 46

big difference in participation of the committee. If the issue's going to be decided here, I think the community will understand that. So that's a big difference.

I mean, I agree with Ward that we do have the opportunity for public hearing and advisory opinion in Hana than in the Planning Commission. I would personally rather see the final decisions, right or wrong, being in Hana and live with the results as a community. And have people, you know, be able to come to the hearings in Hana.

I think, you know, another shortfall -- the whole thing with Susan -- and this is where all the committee organizations, all of them, and the Council, and so forth, need to be better at getting this out to the community. With the short-term rental bill, I went to the Hana Business Council meeting the week after that and talked with a number of people that were concerned, that didn't even know there had been a public hearing on that subject the previous week. So that's something the community has to improve. And I'm optimistic. I feel like if the community doesn't pull it together, then we're going to get rolled over.

And I would like to make one final comment, Chair, if I could. This is a challenge to all of you.

Page 48

1 CHAIR STONE: No. Thank you very much for 2 your testimony tonight. Thank you.

MR. BLUMER-BUELL: Thank you.

CHAIR STONE: Ward, you were next on the list, please.

6 MR. MARDFIN: Yeah. I'd like to talk a little bit about the Hana Advisory Committee that he

8 represented. I'm speaking as an individual, but I've 9 finished about three and a half years on the Maui

10 Planning Commission. And my term ends next March. And

11 actually, I'm kind of hoping that I could get on the

12 Hana Advisory Committee. And I would like it not be to

13 a Hana planning commission. I think Hana has the best

14 of all worlds. We -- all the hearings get assigned to

15 Hana, they get heard here, they get local testimony, if

16 people are interested, they can come. The Advisory

17 Committee makes its recommendations to the Maui Planning

18

Commission. If, for some reason, either a small body 19 makes bad decisions, or somehow it's not representative,

20 people have a second chance to go to the Planning

21 Commission and argue their case. I think that's a check

22 and a balance that is wonderful. Yes, it slows things

23 down a little bit, but that check and balance is a

24 wonderful feature. If I lived in especially unique

25 communities like Paia, Lahaina, Upcountry, I would be

(Pages 45 to 48)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

screaming at you guys to make a Paia advisory committee, a Lahaina advisory committee. I believe it works very well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There are some concerns, but, as the Commissioners said, the Planning Commission almost always follows the recommendations with some modification. I've had -- we need -- the Planning Commission meets 26 times, 25 times a year. We get a lot of experience. And some of the things that pass through the Hana Advisory Committee, we have somewhat modified a little bit at the Planning Commission level because we have a larger experience base. We've seen a lot more projects coming down the way. And it lets us add some things to the mix. But it's still following essentially what Hana thinks. So I really love the way

By the way, the Hana Advisory Committee is not in the Charter. It was created by ordinance. So you don't really have to deal with it at all if you don't choose.

I'd like to mention a couple things about -back to my composition of election. I believe it was Flo Wiger, Commissioner Wiger, who suggested that -maybe it wasn't -- one of you suggested that you could hold the primary locally. That was Commissioner

Page 51

isolated as Molokai and Lanai. And I think it's really important that we have somebody from here. The only way to ensure that one person is from here would be to have a 68-member council. And I don't -- nobody wants that. So I really think the way -- if it ain't broke, don't try to fix it. I think this is not broke from our point of view.

I do understand how other districts feel that it is broken in the fact that they don't get the person that they particularly want. But I don't know any way to fix it without going back to the bad old days when Hana had no representation.

Thank you.

CHAIR STONE: Commissioners? Commissioner DeLeon.

MEMBER DELEON: Did you have a chance to look at the thing I gave you earlier?

MR. MARDFIN: Just briefly.

MEMBER DELEON: Basically, what it does is single-member districts, voted by district, which means Hana probably would not have a councilmember.

MR. MARDFIN: That's correct.

MEMBER DELEON: In that scenario, we have Lanai and Molokai, but it can be like Hana -- well, maybe from Keanae to Kahikinui. And with the elected --

Page 50

Page 52

Okamoto. I don't know if that's legal. You have to check with the Corp Counsel on that.

Commissioner DeRego's concern was I'm not going to bump into people if they're out in Hana and if I'm in Kihei. That's a possibility, but my experience with both Councilman Medeiros and Councilman Carroll is they spend an awful lot of time in Central Maui. Probably more than they want to. They are over there a lot. I don't think it's terribly difficult for somebody from the rest of --

MS. KAHUHU: Three minutes.

12 CHAIR STONE: Okay. Thank you very much. 13 Commissioners, questions, clarifications? Also, 14 clarifications on any of the written testimony? 15 Commissioner DeLeon.

MEMBER DELEON: Ward, can you finish your

MR. MARDFIN: Thank you very much. Yeah. I think the councilmen spend a great deal of time over there. I think access for the rest of Maui Island Council is not a problem. Potentially Molokai and Lanai we have some problems, but they have problems with the other eight, anyway. It seems to me that having -- as Neal said earlier, having a councilman from here really helps the communication problem. We're almost as

elected bodies that represent these communities -elected by the communities, that would -- could handle land use up to and including zoning, and, also, represent the community at all three levels of government in terms of being a spokesperson for your community.

MR. MARDFIN: That may help a little bit. I don't think that solves the fundamental problem. I used to -- when I lived on Oahu, I was on, I think, the second round of neighborhood boards. And it was extremely frustrating because we were only advisory.

MEMBER DELEON: I'm not talking about only advisory; I'm talking significant power.

MR. MARDFIN: I think there a lot of things that really need -- I like the idea of at-large seats. I think that's a very strong and good concept that every councilman has to represent the entire county. If you go to single-member districts, you lose it. There will be the tendency -- people try to fight it, but there will be the inevitable tendency to campaign just in your area, which will be cheaper, and make a lot of change, but they will not -- there will be tendency to look out after my district first and the rest of the island second. And they'll have to compromise some to get the

five votes. So there will be some log rolling to make the deals, to get the five votes.

The way it is now, where every member is elected county-wide means that every councilman has to look at the island -- at the county as a whole. And I think that is a huge plus. I still think that the nine votes that each person has gets us to think about all the councilmen, not just the one from our district. And when we have an issue we want to deal with, we have -- we can honestly say we're constituents of -- constituent of every single councilmember.

So I really think it's a good thing, but I do understand the frustration, certainly.

MEMBER DELEON: Thank you.

CHAIR STONE: Commissioners, any further questions? Clarification?

(Silence.)

CHAIR STONE: Thank you very much for your testimony. Lisa, what is the time?

MS. KAHUHU: 7:40.

CHAIR STONE: 7:40, okay. Commissioners, just so you know, we added to our agenda a period where we can discuss the public testimony that we received if we want to make use of all of our time. So let's take a quick break right now, come back in, say, 10 minutes.

MEMBER DEREGO: Oh, yeah. The earlier testimony got my mind going on the whole issue of the Code of Ethics as it exists in the Charter. I believe that there has to be a way of clarifying what the powers of the Ethics Commission are. I think there needs to be some way of indemnifying not only these commission members, but, also, all members of commissions in some way in the Charter, that it's not only by County Code.

And maybe this is something that Corporation Counsel can clarify, but it seems to me that whole issue became an elephant in the room about the relationship between citizen volunteers and what they place themselves in by volunteering and then taking up very, very sensitive issues, you know. And, you know, lawyers started entering in, there were threats of -- you know, the theme was you're overturning an election, there was threats of suits, you know.

You know, we watch Akaku, it becomes like better than bigtime wrestling. But, you know, it seemed to me that that's a very serious issue, not only in regards to the Ethics Commission, but other commissions as well. But especially defining what are the powers of the Ethics Commission, what can it do, what can it not do and what is the responsibility of the County through its Charter to protect, you know, literally, citizen

Page 54

Page 56

And before we take that break, actually, without objection, Chair will accept and file all public communication into record. Also, without objection, we'll close oral testimony at this time.

...END PUBLIC TESTIMONY...

CHAIR STONE: So let's take a break and be back. Thank you very much.

By the way, the public is welcome to stay during this discussion period. It's open to the public.

(Recess, 7:41 p.m. to 7:54 p.m..)

CHAIR STONE: Commissioners, we'll bring the meeting back to order. At this period, we're going to discuss this discussion based on public testimony that we received tonight. And, again, oral testimony is closed, so refrain from calling on members of the public, please, to clarify. All right?

So with that, let's go ahead and open this testimony up. I'm going to let it go as long as we have something relevant to discuss on tonight's topics. All right. Thank you very much. So, Commissioners, please, anybody want to start discussion?

MEMBER DEREGO: I want to start with the article on ethics.

CHAIR STONE: Frank, would you like the microphone?

volunteers who give of their time in order to make our
 County run better, to support its functions and to make
 sure that democracy is alive and well within the County.

So the testimony actually did get other kinds of issues going in my mind about specific issues that have happened over time in the County that we've witnessed.

So that's my opinion on that.

CHAIR STONE: Commissioners, discussion on that issue?

MEMBER DELEON: Personally, I'm not as shocked as John that politicians don't always tell the truth. It's not really -- doesn't really take my socks off. But the point you're raising about the Nishiki case is -- I don't mind saying what it is -- was that, to me, as an observer, what I noticed was in the Ethics Board -- I've seen this before -- where a complaint is made against a politician, the politician comes with an attorney -- and in the case of Wayne Nishiki, brought in two pretty qualified attorneys, one Corporation Counsel and another real heavy hitter -- who were very aggressive with the board. And there was really nobody arguing the other side of the picture.

You have Corporation Counsel -- in this case it was brought in from the Big Island, I believe. In

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the beginning, it was the junior Corporation Counsel -and that person's position is to represent the County, the function, the process, to make sure everything is hunky-dory. It is not to bring the case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So you have a couple of really strong attorneys coming at a board. And the board are not attorneys; they're lay people. Generally speaking, you don't want attorneys on your Board of Ethics, anyway. That just makes for trouble. So they -- they're sitting there and they're getting pounded on by these attorneys and there's no counterpoint. I mean, unless the public goes out and hires an attorney to bring it in, to bring the case, there is no counterpoint. And so that seems off balance. So it's really hard.

In that case, to me, it was abundantly clear that it was a breach of the process. I mean, very clear breach of the process. A deliberate breach of the process. And he got to walk away from it because he has these big attorneys to really drag them through it. So, yeah, I think that's a real indication of something broken

CHAIR STONE: Commissioners, further discussion on that topic?

MEMBER DEREGO: I guess it begs the question, what can we do. And maybe when we get our person,

after that we're actually submitting them, once we've kind of done the whole vetting process. But, you know, knowing the schedule and how it works and only meeting once a month, it might be worth actually sending out a particular invitation to this group.

Page 59

CHAIR STONE: It sounds like we should definitely do that. So we'll put that -- we'll see if we can't get -- just out of curiosity, Frank, who is the chairman of that group?

MEMBER DEREGO: Who? I don't remember right now.

CHAIR STONE: We'll figure it out. Yes. MEMBER MOIKEHA: I think it was -- Alan Kaufman was the chair at the time. I don't think he's the chair. I think he's off the commission now.

CHAIR STONE: I think it would be beneficial for all of us just to understand the process and also hear why these issues were difficult to deal with. So, Lisa, we're going to try to get the Chair of the Board of Ethics in for a discussion here. Give that a shot.

MEMBER DEREGO: Now, some of what he has to say is going to be generalities because a lot of what was worked out was worked out in Executive Session. So we're not going to be privy to any of that information, but he might be able to give us some insight in terms of

Page 60

1 whoever that is, we can start investigating language or 2 pieces of the Charter that either are about boards or 3 commissions or maybe the individual Ethics Commission 4 itself in terms of strengthening language about that. I 5 guess my question becomes what next, you know. We 6 recognize there's a problem, now we need some sort of 7 solution to it being broken. 8 MEMBER DELEON: Mr. Chair?

CHAIR STONE: Yes.

MEMBER DELEON: In reply to that, I think the first step is to hear from the Board of Ethics. And, hopefully, they're going to come up with one. I'm not going out and seeding anything, either. It's just we'll have to wait and see what they come up with. But, hopefully, they see this themselves.

CHAIR STONE: Very good.

MEMBER DEREGO: Can I ask a question?

18 CHAIR STONE: Sure.

MEMBER DEREGO: If they don't come up with something, would it be worth inviting them in and maybe doing that? I know we're having some difficulty even on the Cost of Government, because we only meet once a month, in terms of sort of organizing ourselves and getting, you know, together for Charter Commission recommendations. So it might be next month or the month what he thinks is broken.

2 CHAIR STONE: Anything further on that issue? 3 Cliff?

MS. KAHUHU: Chair, can I get confirmation, please? Are you going to invite him via letter?

CHAIR STONE: Yeah. I'll draft a letter and put it forward to see if he can come down. And the same, I think, informational discussion on how the Board of Ethics works, so we have an understanding. And at that time, I think the Commissioners can present questions of what about this case, why did that fall apart. So then we can maybe come up with some good ideas on how to make it a better system.

Okay. Moving on to other topics.

MEMBER WIGER: Oh.

16 CHAIR STONE: I'm sorry.

MEMBER WIGER: Just wanting to really, really support the idea that we invite them. I think the fact that this has come up in this meeting, and it's come up through public testimony, I think there's a responsibility on our part as members of the Charter Commission to respond appropriately, given public testimony, saying that this is something that has really risen to the level of concern. And I think our follow-through on that is extremely important. So I

Page 63 Page 61 1 really support bringing them in. 1 in the district and it didn't really matter to the fact 2 CHAIR STONE: Noted. Thank you. Any further 2 he was still on the Council. 3 3 CHAIR STONE: Thank you. MEMBER SUGIMURA: I just want clarification. 4 MEMBER DELEON: The other thing I would like 4 5 5 So what you're asking us to do -to point out is the discussion about the Liquor 6 6 CHAIR STONE: Microphone, if you need it. Commission and about the fact that the Liquor Commission 7 MEMBER SUGIMURA: Okay. So, basically, what 7 is a free-running thing. It's independent of the mayor. 8 you're asking to us do is to take all the testimony we 8 And the Police Department is free of the mayor; it's run 9 heard tonight and pick and choose which ones we want to 9 by a commission. The Fire Department is run by a 10 10 put on our agenda for later? Or -commission, God only knows why. The Civil Service 11 CHAIR STONE: No. This is just an open 11 Commission and, I believe, the Water Department used to 12 be, but it's not anymore. So there's, I believe, three discussion. If some good ideas come up -- for example, 12 13 like it would be great to hear from the Board of Ethics, 13 or four that are running by themselves. Civil Service 14 so that's a good example, I guess, we can discuss at our 14 is obvious. 15 15 next meeting. So all we're trying to do, not trying to Police Commission, you can get into the whole 16 create agenda items, just submitting a great idea. So 16 thing about -- okay, we have a pretty akamai group here. 17 just topics -- as this is our first time we're doing 17 How many of you have ever been to a Police Commission 18 this, open discussion on anything we heard this evening. 18 meeting? Whatever. Were you on the Commission at one 19 MEMBER SUGIMURA: I just want to say in 19 point? 20 20 COMMISSIONER HEDANI: Yes. I was the general that I'm really glad to hear Hana people come 21 21 chairman. out and tell us what they feel. Because it's so easy 22 for the other side of the island to think that we know 22 (Laughter.) 23 23 MEMBER DELEON: How many of you that wasn't what they think. And the same thing happens with appointed to the board? 24 Oahu-centric versus Maui County. And I'm glad to hear 24 25 this. And I look forward, really, to Molokai and Lanai, 25 MEMBER DEREGO: This is a tough crowd. You Page 62 Page 64 got to be clear. 1 too --1 2 2 MEMBER DELEON: So the point is, I was on -- I CHAIR STONE: Great. 3 MEMBER SUGIMURA: -- as relates to their 3 was on -- I was active in Maui County politics as a 4 issues. 4 reporter, as a government official, as all kinds of, you 5 5 CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Commissioners, any know, ways of making trouble. And it took me 25 years before I even made it there. And I had to do it 6 discussion on any other topics? 7 7 MEMBER DELEON: I think it's really important deliberately because I realized I never seen one. 8 to note that we now know Nikhilananda's real name. 8 That's our oversight to the Police Department; it's not 9 (Laughter.) 9 the mayor. You can't call the mayor and he can't do 10 MEMBER DEREGO: I wrote it down. It's 10 anything for you. It's the Police Commission. And 11 actually on my computer right now. I'm almost tempted 11 nobody ever goes there. 12 12 to call him Harry. You know where their meetings are held? In MEMBER DELEON: Well, former real name. 13 13 the Police Chief's office. And you have to go through 14 CHAIR STONE: Leaps and bounds by the Charter 14 security to get to it. So, I mean, that's a --15 Commission today. 15 Just raising a point of the Liquor Commission, 16 MEMBER DELEON: I think there's a couple 16 there's two Liquor Commissions. There's a Liquor 17 interesting points that I would like to raise, 17 Board --18 18 MEMBER DEREGO: Adjudication Board. highlight, to make sure they don't go away. I think 19 it's a good point that candidates for County office need 19 MEMBER DELEON: -- that sets up the actual 2.0 to be voters of the district. And the Charter should 20 fees and the governance and the budget of the operation 21 21 clearly say that they are voters in the district. and gives licenses, and then there's an adjudication 22 Whether they voted in the last election or not is 22 board, if they bust you. And the membership of those 23 23 something to be determined. But at least, right now, it two go back and forth regularly. I mean, it's like a 24 doesn't say they have to be voters. That came up in the 24 recirculating thing. The members of the Adjudication 25 Kaho'ohalahala case where the gentleman was not a voter 25 Board go to the Commission, the Commissioners go back to

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the Adjudication Board. There's one lady that is there 25 years, I think, on that rotation. You get down to inbred thinking going on, that it kind of gets to be a problem.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I guess I'm guilty because I was helping with the appointments in these things, but -- but my point to that is that board also runs independent of the mayor. And it's pretty inane. The gentleman's correct. It's pretty inane, some of the rules. I mean, you can't dance, you know, in a place that have liquor or you can only dance in this little square the Commission actually points out that you can dance in. I mean - I don't know. It's kind of a little bit off the edge. But we also have to worry about whether -- where state law becomes involved in that.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you, Commissioner DeLeon.

From discussion on the Liquor Commission issue, I've noticed -- as a business owner, with restaurants, I do find it frustrating and nerve-racking that, oftentimes, the Liquor Commission has absolute control over your business with very little recourse, if they decide to come down on you. And it seems that -- I don't know how in the Charter they garnered so much self-sustenance. And I think that it's -- I'm sure a lot of the restaurant owners in our County would like

the voting, because voting has no real direct bearing on how our County operates.

3 CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Noted.

Commissioners, discussion on that topic or any other topic? Commissioner Baxa.

MEMBER BAXA: Yeah, Mr. Chair, I would like to second the --

CHAIR STONE: It's on.

MEMBER BAXA: It's on? I would like to second the further discussion next time, not now, about considering the impact of having these commissions stay as they are right now. My main problem is accountability. They're supposed to be departments, supposed to be the mayor who is the head of the department. And, yet, when you read the Charter, by process, the mayor in terms of responsibility. And the main concern I have is for the people who are being affected, they do not know who to turn to for accountability. That is the main question that I have.

CHAIR STONE: Very good.

MEMBER BAXA: And as far as the voting is concerned, maybe we should make known that, in Hana, as testified today, and other areas where we have gone, they are at least -- my main feeling is that they are satisfied with the way it is. I don't know if I am

Page 66

that looked at, for sure.

Commissioner.

MEMBER HASHIMOTO: Tonight is the first time I've heard this going off the path a little bit. You know, we've had a lot of discussions up until tonight, where we've been talking about voting a lot. As a matter of fact, it's been repeated a number of times on the other side. And I was a little concerned that all of our attention seemed to be going into that well-discussed subject. And so tonight is the first time I've heard us going into some of the other areas that I've always had concerns about. And that is about the Police Commission, the Fire Commission and -- I believe there was another one -- the Liquor Commission. So I'm glad that that came out tonight finally.

And the reason I'm saying that is I just don't want us to get tied up with this voting because that's not going to change. I think we've heard every version. And all we're going to hear now is a repeat of what we've heard about as far as voting is concerned. But I think the fact that the thing about the Liquor Commission came up tonight is a good one. And others fell out of that, also. So I'm glad that happened. Because we really need to pay attention to those because that affects how our government operates more so than

Page 68

2 CHAIR STONE: Thank you very much. Thank you. 3 Yes, please.

wrong, but that is the way how I interpret it today.

MEMBER CRIVELLO: I recently finished my term as Chair for the Fire Commission. I'm sitting here today with people who are on the Charter Commission and we're all volunteers. And I believe the end result is that -- for instance, the Fire Chief, yes, your Commission, evaluation, anything to do with operation funnels back to the mayor. And I'm not familiar so much with the Police Commission. It's been in existence for a long time, I understand. And the Fire Commission is a fairly new commission.

And I think if I were to look at some of the faults is that there's really nothing -- you know, they create this commission and there's really no guidelines or policies to go by. And maybe it's not solid enough in the Charter as far as defining what role the different commissioners have.

So will it be more costly to have the departments come directly under the mayor? And what would be the difference? Do we have political appointments?

I can honestly testify, as a volunteer, we went through a rigmarole in selecting the chief at the

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

time. And I'd like to at least give credit for the volunteers that put in the time. Though the learning takes about a year and-a-half or two years, we're able to serve at least six years, so you have that continuity.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So maybe I'm just kind of coming off from a commission that I felt very comfortable with. It was a learning process, you know. And someone who served on other commissions, then, should we just slash it all, then, and put everything under the mayor? I think that's something that we need to consider if we're talking about the value of commissions.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Very good. Thank you. Discussion?

VICE-CHAIR HEDANI: Having served five years on the Police Commission, two of which were as Chairman of the Police Commission, I felt that the commission served a worthwhile purpose.

And that I think the Mayor's Office right now has a -- may have a misunderstanding as to, you know, what their capacity is relative to supervision. The Charter provides, under Section 7.6 -- or 7-6, that the mayor has supervision directly, or through the managing director, over all departments enumerated in Article 8 in this Charter. So it does have a provision of the

under Article 13, impeachment of officers. So a charge

2 could be brought through that article through the Board 3 of Ethics. 4

CHAIR STONE: Very good input. Frank.

MEMBER DEREGO: And I agree with you, but something broke down in that particular situation. And I think it's twofold. I think it's the issue of immunity and indemnification of members of boards and commissions. Because in that particular instance, there was the not so vailed threat of personal lawsuits. And this is not only for just Ethics commission members; it's for any commission member. So I think it's something that we need to look at that, you know, as citizen volunteers, you know, that we need -- the County needs to support these individuals because they're giving up their time and effort in order to serve on these commissions.

So I agree with you, it says there, in words, but somehow, in terms of practical action, you know, there was a breakdown somehow. And we need to figure out where that breakdown has occurred and how it works.

Just one other thing, Mr. Chair, that I would like to bring up about boards and commissions. It's kind of a funny article. I always kind of laugh about this. Under the general provisions of Article 13 --

Page 70

Police Department.

The other thing that I think the commission does, in hiring and firing the police chief, allows for professional development within the department. And it's not a position that necessarily is just changed over every four years as an appointed position. So I thought that there was some benefit there.

My only other comment was on the Code of Ethics question that came up. I looked at the rules of the Board of Ethics and the provisions that they are doing for us, under Article 10. And, at first, I thought that honesty wasn't one of the items that they were enforcing --

(Laughter.)

VICE-CHAIR HEDANI: -- because it lists all kinds of different things, like financial disclosures, representations before the Council, et cetera. But, in Section 10.1, under its declaration of policy, it says elected and appointed officers and the employees shall demonstrate by their example the highest standards of ethical conduct that the public would justifiably have trust and confidence in the integrity of government. And it gives them, under Section 10-2(b), the ability to impeach for a violation of that provision. And that carry-through on the impeachment also carries through

Page 72

Page 71

- 1 this is something I read last night and I thought it was
- 2 awesome, but then it has no practical consequence.
- Article 13-2, Number 10, it says all boards and
- 4 commissions shall have the power to subpoena witnesses
- 5 and administer oaths to witnesses as to all matters
- 6 within the authority of such board and commission. And
- 7 we actually had a discussion of this in the Cost of
- 8 Government Commission. So I asked them, I said, so if a
- 9 County employee refuses to give information, I can
- 10 subpoena them. They said, yes. But the subpoena
- 11 doesn't mean anything, because there's no consequences,
- 12 because we're not a juridical authority, so, therefore,
- 13 you can't issue a bench warrant. So it's a subpoena
- 14 without any meaning. It may have moral meaning, you may
- 15 go and advertise, well, I subpoenaed these guys and
- 16 they're not showing up. You know, you can write in the
- 17 Viewpoint and, you know, maybe morally shame them into
- 18 coming in, but, you know, according to this, you know,
- 19 you can subpoena people, but there's no consequences
- 20 involved. And I think that's ultimately very

21

24

25

22 CHAIR STONE: Good point. Commissioners, 23 discussion? Very good point. Yes.

> VICE-CHAIR HEDANI: Just a comment on personal liability of people that volunteer for the commissions.

2

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

Page 76

- 1 When I served on the Planning Commission for five years,
- 2 I always used to say that Mrs. Hedani had a rule that I
- 3 wasn't supposed to jeopardize our house and our home in
- 4 making any decisions before the Commission. And when it
- 5 came to issues that had liability attached to it, the

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

17

18

- 6 guideline that we always went by was that if you abided 7
- by the guidance of your Corp Counsel that was assigned 8 to that particular commission in your judgment, then you
- 9 would be covered on any subsequent litigation that took 10 place by the County. And there was some issue.

MEMBER DEREGO: Can I ask you a question? Is that by Hawaii Revised Statutes, is that by Maui County Code, is that some sort of loose agreement that you're indemnified?

VICE-CHAIR HEDANI: That was a commitment that I got from the Corp Counsel's Office under oath while they were testifying before our Commission.

MEMBER DEREGO: Okay. I guess my only point about this is that it might be even stronger if it's somehow written into the Charter, that it's not, you know, Corp Counsel opinion or legal advice, or otherwise, that it would be good if we put stronger language that does that in the Charter.

CHAIR STONE: Commissioner Moikeha.

25 MEMBER MOIKEHA: I think this is another we had the opportunity to be sued personally if the

- Council chose not to indemnify us. And I think that's
- 3 just wrong, you know. We're volunteers, we don't get
 - paid for this, we put a lot of time and effort into
- 5 boards and commissions we serve on for the general
- 6 public. And I think that's just wrong. And you're 7 going to find a lot of people who will probably not put 8

should be put in the Charter itself?

themselves out there just for that very reason. 9 CHAIR STONE: So, Susan, you would support the 10 idea that Frank is floating out there that indemnity

> MEMBER MOIKEHA: I would say so. Well, more importantly, not even just put in there, because from what I'm gathering is a lot of people feel that this has a stronger foundation and that's why they want these amendments. And that's what we're about, trying to figure out, okay, what are we going to put out there. And if that's true, then somebody's got to enforce these things. Because that's a huge problem. You know, if you're not being enforced, why are we having a nice little pink book?

CHAIR STONE: Just as an example, though, in your guys' case, the Council had a choice whether or not to indemnify. I think what Frank's saying is you don't -- the Council doesn't have a choice, we are

Page 74

- 1
- example of where we need to enforce these things. 1 2 Because I was a member of the Planning Commission when
- 3 we were sued. This case went all the way to the Federal 4 Government in the challenge of religious act of freedom
- 5 and because of a decision we made. And when I watched
- 6 how this all played out, so the commissioners could be 7 protected and indemnified by the Council, who is the
- 8 authority to do so, what I found to be interesting is --
- 9 and I would say the authority over this would be the
- 10 Chair and, also, Corp Counsel advising them -- is that
- 11 in this particular case, we had two councilmembers
- 12 trying to ask questions whether or not the commission 13 made the right choice or not. And it was never about
- 14 that. It was supposed to be, did we follow Corp
- 15 Counsel's counsel at that time. And it was clear that
- 16 we did. And we got into this situation.
 - So I think what Frank was saying earlier is, you know, it's great to have these Charter amendments,
- 19 but do they really -- are they really enforced, number 20 one. And, if not, why. And is there someone that needs
- 21 to be making sure that we are following what we have.
- 22 Why else go out there and make new amendments if it's --
- 23 if it's not going to have any force of law to protect,
- 24 you know, our rights?
- I mean, we were sued. We were almost being --25

indemnified. And I think that's -- maybe that's where

MEMBER MOIKEHA: Yeah, I would totally support

that. But the thing with the Council is that they were 5 not being directed that -- the subject at hand was did

- 6 they follow the Corp Counsel's advice. Who cares what 7 decision they came up with, you know, or what the basis
- 8 or criteria that they made that decision. It's not
- 9 about were we right or wrong; it's that the Council was
- 10 not there looking at what the issue was. And that was 11 did this commission, as a body, by way of their vote,
- 12 follow Corp Counsel. And the answer was, yes, we did.
- 13 So it's that enforcement that I'm looking to. Not only
- 14 putting it as a foundation within the Charter, but it 15 better have some enforcement.
- 16 CHAIR STONE: Understood. Good point.
- 17 MEMBER DELEON: Chairman, Corp Counsel is 18 gagging in the corner over there.
- 19 (Laughter.)
- 20 CHAIR STONE: Well, we'll just make sure that the court reporter got the gags on the record. 21
- 22 (Laughter.)
- 23 CHAIR STONE: Commissioners, any further
- 24 discussion?
- 25 (Silence.)

Page 77 Page 79 1 1 CHAIR STONE: I just have one point. The MEMBER DEREGO: I don't know. 2 proposal for a telecommunications center, we heard that 2 CHAIR STONE: Set a date for us. Frank. 3 before, I think that's a very decent idea that could 3 MEMBER DEREGO: Okay. Well, we'll talk about help with the outlying areas a lot in being involved in 4 it offline. 4 5 5 the process. I personally like that idea, so I just MEMBER SUGIMURA: But I also wonder -- we 6 6 want to put my support behind that. heard from the Police Department, we got that letter 7 Any further discussion? 7 from the Police Department. I wonder if we're waiting 8 MEMBER DELEON: Yeah. I just wanted to follow 8 for letters from the Liquor and the Fire Department in 9 up on the thought that Wayne left with, with that thing 9 response to our initial letter that we sent out. So can 10 10 about, you know, maybe just a misstatement in the we ask for that? 11 Charter, the idea that the mayor had the authority over 11 CHAIR STONE: Yes, we could re-request, of the boards, over the departments that are not appointed 12 12 course. 13 by the mayor. And I'll tell you, from a function in the 13 MEMBER CRIVELLO: Excuse me, Mr. Chair. 14 Mayor's Office, that is not true. 14 CHAIR STONE: Sure. 15 MEMBER CRIVELLO: I believe there was 15 When the Water Department was under the --16 under the Board of Water Supply, I know a mayor who was 16 correspondence that went out. This is where I feel that 17 -- would have done anything in their power to fire that 17 the -- the Fire Chief, as well as all other appointees, 18 water director, but could not. 18 is a director that comes under the mayor. So the mayor 19 I have -- we repeatedly and constantly were 19 will write something on behalf of all of his directors, getting calls about the Police Department. Not because 20 and that includes the Fire Chief and the Police Chief. 20 21 CHAIR STONE: We'll see. We'll see. 21 the Police Department did anything really wrong, they're 22 not saying anything negative about the Police 22 MEMBER CRIVELLO: Yeah. 23 Department, but the public's looking for a place to go. CHAIR STONE: We're still waiting to hear from 23 the Mayor's Office. I know that --And there's nothing really a mayor can do about it. He 24 24 2.5 can have a conversation with the Police Chief, and the 25 MEMBER CRIVELLO: This is in answer to what Page 78 Page 80 Police Chief can choose to do what he wants to do. 1 Yuki is asking, more or less, why haven't we heard --1 2 CHAIR STONE: Well, we did hear from the 2 Same with the Liquor Board, particularly the 3 Police Chief. 3 Civil Service Commission. The Civil Service Commission 4 creates a unique situation because they have a 4 MEMBER CRIVELLO: Right, you heard from the 5 5 stranglehold on how you can move the personnel in and Police Chief. But I understand there's a hold for other out of your departments. And if you have seen the 6 directors to be submitting their own because it will be 6 7 7 function in Maui County in the last couple years, you'll a cohesive united front coming from the Mayor, from the 8 8 know that it takes close to a year to hire somebody. Administration. It's ridiculous. I mean, it's just really tied up in 9 MEMBER SUGIMURA: Is that what is happening knots. And it's been like that for a long time. And 10 CHAIR STONE: Interesting. Good to know. Any 10 the mayor has no authority over. They have no way to 11 further discussion? Flo? 11 12 12 enforce that. And believe me, if any of those mayors MEMBER WIGER: No. 13 could have, they would have. But they don't. 13 CHAIR STONE: Frank? 14 CHAIR STONE: Point taken. Good point. 14 MEMBER DEREGO: I just wanted to make one 15 MEMBER SUGIMURA: I wonder -- I was hoping or 15 point about what the Cost of Government did as sort of a 16 waiting to hear from the Cost of Government, because you 16 preview. Most of the recommendations that were in the 17 had some recommendations you were going to present 17 report touched on boards and commissions that are not 18 before the Charter Commission. Frank, did you do the 18 outlined in the Charter. So it wouldn't really actually 19 19 do anything for us in that regard. Some of the 20 MEMBER DEREGO: Yeah. 20 interesting things, in the back of the exhibits, that 21 21 CHAIR STONE: At the last meeting, we gave sort of gives this information out -- I don't think 22 Frank a little bit of a slack. 22 we've really actually taken sort of a panoramic view of 23 23 (Laughter.) all the boards and commissions. There are particular 24 MEMBER DEREGO: Right. Right. Right. 24 exhibits in the back of the report that actually list 25 CHAIR STONE: What was the date again, Frank? 25 all the boards and commissions, tells you which ones are