as perceived today. This Charter Commission is a unique opportunity to achieve those changes. And the public's input is a vital part of the amendment proposal process.

As a matter of understanding, the Charter Commission may propose amendments to the Charter, but these amendments must be approved by a majority of Maui County voters during an election.

Again, before we start, copies of the present Maui County Charter and amendments are available to the public with Lisa, if you need.

At this time, I would like to begin with oral testimony. And before we begin, I would like to go over our oral testimony rules.

We'll call up each public testifier in the order that they registered to testify. Each person will have three minutes to testify on items concerning our Maui County Charter. If the testifier requires more time, I will grant them an additional minute to complete their testimony. At the end of this additional minute, the testifier will be asked to stop their testimony. And if more time is required on an item, the testifier will be allowed an additional three minutes to complete testimony following the testimony of all other registered testifiers. Following a testifier's

puts the state of Hawaii at Number 46 out of the 50 states for economic freedom, number 43 out of 50 for personal freedom, and 47 out of 50 for overall freedom. Action is needed.

Listed are some of the problems.

We are in the middle of a recession. And people cannot pay their bills, they are losing their homes. And it is becoming worse daily as the prices for food and energy skyrocket and the equity in the homes decrease.

A: This county prohibits people from working in their home, even though cottage industry and garage workshops have been the historic foundation of small businesses which are widely acknowledged to be the largest employers of our workers.

B: This county restricts the renting of one's home to 180 days or more without a special permit, which has been substantially shown to not actually be available through a reasonable process. The homeowner needs to be able to make use of his most costly asset to make it through difficult times.

Here's a possible solution: The addition of the Patrick Henry quote and the statement of the use of the home for either small business or rental for any period of time shall not be infringed upon except in

Page 6

clarification on the testimony.

testimony, I will ask the Commissioners if they need

With that, Lisa, please introduce our first testifier, please. Four minutes.

MS. KAHUHU: Paul Laub.

CHAIR STONE: Mr. Laub, thank you very much for coming this evening.

MR. LAUB: Thank you, sir.

CHAIR STONE: And there's a microphone provided for you.

...BEGIN PUBLIC TESTIMONY...

MR. LAUB: Thank you, sir. Aloha.

I'm going to start with a quote: "The

Constitution is not an instrument for government to restrain the people; it is an instrument for the people to restrain the government, lest to dominate our lives and our interests." And that's by Patrick Henry.

There's two sides to this coin. One is that -- one side restrains the government from incursion on rights and liberties. The other side specifically preserves rights and liberties that have been encroached upon by the government.

So I know this may sound -- this may be a little unusual, what I'm going to put forward, but I think you might come to agreement with: The 2011 study from the Mercatus Center of George Washington University

Page 8

cases of health, safety, welfare and nuisance being established. In other words, no harm, no foul. The ability to work and use ones assets is at the cornerstone of our people's foundation.

Two: It has been well established that the average person cannot get a building permit in a reasonable timeframe, with some permits taking years. Building permits were not even required, actually, I think, until 1957. And all older homes don't have one yet. They still exist and they still function quite well.

Possible solution: All required permits for single-family homes be able to be executed by the average high school graduate and must be deemed granted if not issued or denied for cause by permitting authorities within 30 days of completed submission.

Three: The current requirement of 20 percent of the previous election's voters to exercise initiatives is excessive and never has been accomplished, as I understand it, and seems to be designed to stop the public will. Reduce the amount to five percent.

Four: The County has unilaterally closed beach access during the night and early morning with metal pipe barriers.

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Possible solution: The County shall not prohibit the public from reasonable lawful access to public property except in times of limited need for health, safety and welfare.

Five: It currently takes a minimum of 30 days to get a certificate of occupancy for a business, and some -- sometimes it takes up to half a year. All the while, the applicant is being drained of needed cash flow without the ability to operate. Most jurisdictions take three days.

Possible solution: A statement that all permitting authorities have 30 days after filing of requisite applications to accept or reject for cause -excuse me -- an application, or shall be deemed granted. Further, applications must be able to be filled out and filed by the average person with a high school education.

It is requested that these proposals shall be inserted on Page 1 under "Powers of the County." The County needs to specify freedoms of the people to ensure their existence. Thank you.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you very much, Mr. Laub. Commissioners, clarification questions on the testimony?

25 (Silence.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 any person involved or being present at a cockfight be

convicted of a misdemeanor. This was in the Territory

3 days, I grew up in the plantation days, and was a petty

4 misdemeanor. But we became a state 1959. And after,

5 (inaudible) cruelty to animals, and made us criminals.

6 This is pretty much a Hawaiian historical cultural 7

heritage that I realize David Malo wrote in Hawaiian

8 Book of Antiquities. And everybody thought this was a

9 Filipino thing, but this is a Native Hawaiian cultural

10 practice that was practiced way back before Western 11 contact.

> I just wanted to say this -- this specific law was dismissed by the Hawaii Supreme Court back in May 28 -- 23rd, 1968, on the grounds of it conflict with the State statute and unconstitutional and unreasonably infringes on a person's freedom of locomotion and movement.

> Cockfighting has been one of the oldest recognized sport to mankind and is highly regarded esteemed pastime in Hawaiian cultural heritage. It is also cultural and heritage that is recognized and accepted by many different groups here Maui and Hawaii

Furthermore, I found out, March 1972, Maui County Council certified and adopted Resolution Number 45, requesting recognition preservation, preservation

Page 10

Page 12

Page 11

CHAIR STONE: Very good. Thank you so much for your testimony tonight. Thank you very much.

Lisa, next testifier, please.

MS. KAHUHU: Alton De Gama

5 CHAIR STONE: I'm sorry. Could you repeat

6 that name for the Chair?

MS. KAHUHU: Alton De Gama.

CHAIR STONE: De Gama?

MS. KAHUHU: De Gama.

CHAIR STONE: Mr. De Gama, thank you very much

11 for coming this evening. 12

MR. DE GAMA: Hello, Commissioners. My first time. Not too good public speaker, but I see Stone the other night, and he say get involved.

CHAIR STONE: Get involved.

16 MR. DE GAMA: My request is a long time coming 17 and been in my heart long time.

CHAIR STONE: One second. Sorry, sir. Is the microphone on?

MR. DE GAMA: Sorry. Got 'em on. Long time coming. I feel I had to say something that's always been in my heart. I know when this law was passed in 1947, never a public hearing. Like he said, took away a lot of people's right, took away cockfighting. And it's County Code 9.08.010. And it's -- basically, it's on

1 and acceptance of cockfighting in the State of Hawaii as 2 a cultural heritage. A lot of people don't realize 3 this. Even the Police Chief never realized this is a 4 cultural thing.

Anyway, Maui Police Department have been using this law for many years, but they been using a state law where there's much more, it's a criminal misdemeanor. And, you know, they just need to do their job.

I came to the -- came to the last meeting you guys supposed to have, but they canceled. I came here and nobody was here. But, anyway. It was just before 4th of July and, at that weekend, a lot of other groups enjoyed they freedoms, pursuit of happiness, the rodeo. Now the MMA, our kids to go there and really hurt each other. You know, we just raise our roosters and, you know, we enjoy that. And, you know, we give them the right to fight for their life.

If anybody get anything to say about cruelty, let me tell you guys one thing. You tell me, before you criticize us, tell me, how is abortion anything that you guys can even justify.

I left some information in the resolution here. I don't have enough copies for everybody.

One politician told me, to bring up the subject is political suicide. You know what I mean,

1.5

Page 15

come on. The governor, the first law he signed into bill -- law this year, well, you know what I mean, everybody know. That's their right.

And I heard so much about equity, justice. Where's ours? Nobody can (inaudible), nobody (inaudible), trying to help us try to do stuff like this. Animal rights groups, they are known in United States by the FBI as a domestic terrorist because they go after research centers and that kind of like that stuff.

But, anyway, I thank you.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you so much for your time. We really appreciate you coming here tonight and testifying. Thank you. I have a question. Have you taken this ordinance issue up with your councilmember?

MR. DE GAMA: Three years ago, I brought it to the County Council. (Inaudible) told me should bring it to the Charter. I prefer not to come to the Charter. I prefer to bring to the people. I would like a public hearing that I can bring my people and let's challenge 'em for the people against us, public hearing. I would rather that. Because I know a lot of people have a stigma against us. Just mention cockfighting everybody, wow, bad, bad, bad. But public hearing I prefer. But he told me to come, so I'm here.

I think that big point that I want to make, that many of us feel, is that we would like to have it where our councilmembers are voted upon and selected by the areas they represent, by those of us in the areas they represent. And this is what representative government is. We all can't be there to make every decision, so we, in an area, select a representative. It's the way the senate is done. Our senators are not picked by other states, or our congressmen are picked not by other congressional districts. And here on Maui, we should be able to pick our own representatives.

There has been a time, I understand, in the past where somebody was elected and it was not the popular vote of the area that they were representing. And we've come close over here in West Maui.

So that's the main pitch.

How to do it is the difficult part. That's what you're going to have to work on.

On the one hand, we don't have a senate or a congress here; we have a council. And they both have to represent all the different areas like a senate would and a portion of the population issues as congress would. So there's a balance making sure all the areas of our three islands are accommodated, plus the population issue, in making it fairly proportionate,

Page 14

Page 16

```
CHAIR STONE: Very good. Everybody is welcome. Thank you so much for coming. And we appreciate your testimony. And your testimony will be a part of this process.
```

MR. DE GAMA: Thank you.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you so. Much, mahalo to

you.

Lisa, next testifier, please. Excuse me one second. Clarification on the testimony?

(Silence.)

11 CHAIR STONE: No. Thank you again. Thank you

so much.

13 Lisa.14 MS.

MS. KAHUHU: George Lavenson.

15 CHAIR STONE: Mr. Lavenson. Thank you for

16 coming.17 M

MR. LAVENSON: Working? Okay.

Aloha and good evening, everybody. And I'm George Lavenson. And, first, I just want to thank all of you for the many hours you must put in on doing this and the sacrifice you're making.

I was in on the original West Maui Charter
Group committee with Flo Wiger. And the only thing I'm
really thankful about is that she's there, not me, to do

25 all of this.

too.

So I just wish you luck. I hope we can get to a point where we can select our own representatives the way it should be. It's difficult because of the island situation. It's also difficult, naturally, because incumbents that are in, this is the system they were elected on and change can be difficult. And I believe that's the reason that it's going up to the voters to make these kinds of selections.

So thank you again for working on the problem and hope you come up -- I know there are different formulas, I've seen 'em. We hope you come up with what is best for all of us on Maui. Thank you.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you very much, Mr.
Lavenson. Commissioners, questions or clarifications on the testimony? Commissioner Moikeha.

MEMBER MOIKEHA: Thank you for coming tonight and for your testimony.

I actually read your West Maui Charter Working Group today. And as I read through it, I was looking at the number one proposal of district voting and then where you folks stated your rationale and then support of that. What I found interesting is that you pulled from previous Charter Commissions, kind of, to me, giving a little bit of history how this proposal kind of

Page 17 Page 19 1 was discussed or followed through or whether or not out 1 CHAIR STONE: Commissioner DeLeon, you have a 2 2 there to the voters to vote or not. clarification? 3 3 MEMBER DELEON: Yeah. The QMark document that We recently were on Molokai. And I think it's 4 safe to say that they're not in support of any change. 4 Lance give us --5 5 CHAIR STONE: Hang on. Hang on. Mic. But what you quoted here on Page 3 -- or what was quoted 6 MEMBER DELEON: The OMark document that Lance 6 were minutes from a particular individual, and it's 7 7 gave us is from the survey that was done last year. cited the organization she was with. But this was in 8 8 MR. LAVENSON: Lance had --1966, and there were only 45 people in attendance. Did 9 9 you have anything more current, of a current survey, MEMBER DELEON: It was independently. It was 10 10 given to us as testimony. We do have it in our that was done, maybe within the last year or during this year that would show what the feelings of the people on 11 11 documentation 12 MEMBER CRIVELLO; And, Mr. Chair, also in 12 Molokai were expressing at this time regarding district 13 13 reference to the survey, we also requested to have an voting? 14 MR. LAVENSON: That -- I understand we had a 14 explanation what type of survey QMark did. 15 MEMBER MOIKEHA: Chair? 15 more recent survey. 16 CHAIR STONE: Yes. That explanation is MEMBER MOIKEHA: I'm asking if you did, 16 17 17 because this report doesn't say that. forthcoming to the Charter. 18 18 MR. LAVENSON: Flo Wiger knows more about it I'll come back to you, Kay. 19 than I do. She and Lance worked on that primarily. But 19 MEMBER MOIKEHA: Okay. And I just want to 20 20 there was a recent survey that I think included all the clarify, too -- and I understood that there was some 21 21 one-page thing sent out to us, and we asked for areas, and it was supportive of district voting. 22 MEMBER MOIKEHA: Okay. So this report only 22 explanation. But if there was a written follow-up 23 23 took it up to -- the rationale ended in 2002, so that's report other than just the survey, as an appendix or 2.4 why I'm asking. I didn't know if there was anything 24 supporting document, I would like to see that as well. 25 2.5 Because your -- your working group in this first current that went on during your deliberations and Page 20 1 putting together of this report of something more 1 proposal stops at 2002. I mean, a lot of time between 2 2 current. Because, in 1966, you did, apparently, speak 2002 and 2011. And I think the sentiments of people and 3 3 with -- or there were minutes of one individual who groups and islands may have changed. So --4 spoke on behalf of supporting it and 45 that seemed to 4 MR. LAVENSON: The working group I was on was 5 be endorsing it and one person that was uncertain. But 5 within the last two years. We had multiple meetings. 6 that's a long time ago. 6 They did do a survey. Lance has that and, I'm sure, can 7 7 MR. LAVENSON: Right. The survey that -- that provide it for your committee so you have all the 8 8 we are referring to was within the last two years, I numbers. 9 believe. Is that correct, Flo? 9 MEMBER MOIKEHA: Okay. What I'm looking for 10 10 MEMBER WIGER: Yes. Yes. at this point, if there was a follow-up written 11 MR. LAVENSON: Encompassed all the areas. And 11 document, then I would like to see that also with the 12 the popular vote was to have district voting and it was 12 explanation of what we were already told we received on 13 current. And it was also published in The Maui News. 13 the actual survey. 14 14 MEMBER MOIKEHA: Okay. So is there a MR. LAVENSON: That's reasonable. I know it 15 follow-up this group is going to give us? And maybe Flo 15 can be provided to you. 16 can answer that. Are we going to get that follow-up 16 MEMBER MOIKEHA: Okay. Thank you. 17 17 CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Commissioner report, or is it in here? 18 MEMBER WIGER: I would have to go through and 18 Okamoto. 19 19 actually see where it is. But if it's not, we can make MEMBER OKAMOTO: Just one quick question. We 20 that available. 20 keep hearing the working group. How many people were in 21 MEMBER MOIKEHA: Okay. Yeah. And I noticed, 21 the working group? 22 too, that you were one of the participants. But thank 22 MR. LAVENSON: Five of us -- or four. 23 23 you, anyways, for your testimony. MEMBER WIGER: We had -- there were four 24 MR. LAVENSON: Thank you. Yeah, I'm not as 24 people in the working group. It consisted of Gordon

Cockett, George Lavenson, myself, and Nell Woods, from

25

25

knowledgeable

would probably be better than speaking at liberty.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

.25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My name is William Soares. And I am speaking as a resident of Lahaina and representing no organization or commission. I have resided in Lahaina for 70-plus years. I have served on various commissions and belong -- belong or have belonged to service organizations and church councils.

I am speaking to the issue of amending the Charter to have department heads currently selected by commissions to be appointed by the mayor. My understanding, the departments in question would be the Liquor Control Director, DPS Director, Police Chief and the Fire Chief.

These positions used to be appointed by the mayor. It was changed in past years in the effort of removing these persons from having political influence. Since being selected by commissions, it seemed we have department heads that have served the County well. By having them appointed by the commissions, morale in these departments have improved over the years, and the continuity of management has made each of these departments stable.

We have seen the Police Department become accredited and -- and risen in stature. Morale has improved, especially during the tenure of Chief Tom

Page 24

```
MR. LAVENSON: I was asked to join the group,
     and we didn't organize it. We did not have public
5
     hearings as you're having now. We put our heads
     together and looked at it and came up with a suggestion.
6
           CHAIR STONE: Good. Commissioners, any
     further questions or clarification?
9
```

(Silence.) CHAIR STONE: No. Thank you, Mr. Lavenson, so

much for coming this evening. It's much appreciated.

12 MR. LAVENSON: Thank you.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Lisa, next

14 testifier, please. 15

4

7

8

10

11

13

16

17

MS. KAHUHU: Chair, our last signed-up testifier is William Soares.

CHAIR STONE: Mr. Soares, thank you for coming.

18 MR. SOARES: Hello. Aloha and good evening. 19 20 How's that?

21 CHAIR STONE: Very good. 22 MR. SOARES: Got it?

23 MEMBER SUGIMURA: Yeah, got it.

24 MR. SOARES: Aloha and good evening. Let me

25 say that I'm -- well, let me read my testimony. It

Phillips. Should he have been appointed, we can only 2 guess the years of service as chief the County would 3 have been able to enjoy his talents. Maui County was fortunate to have Chief Phillips for over 10 years of 4 service as the chief.

The Fire Department has a chief that has been in position for three years. And the improvement of the department has been remarkable. The MFD is in the process of seeking accreditation, and the process will take two to three years. Would this -- would this be brought to fruition -- would this be brought to fruition should we change chiefs in the next couple of years? Probably not as the process is time-consuming and needs a strong administration to give constant support and direction, not to mention a total commitment to the process.

Would it be safe -- would it be a safe assumption to say the department heads by -- by appointment by the mayor we have seen -- we may have seen department head changes every four years.

Should there be a change in the process, would it be possible at the next Charter review, they revert back to the process in place now?

Gazing into the future, it could be that we see the same exercise reoccurring in the effort of

2

3

4

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 28

correcting any changes to this process that may happen should this change -- this be changed this year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of the major functions of the Police and Fire Commissions is that they perform an annual evaluation of the chief. This is done by nine members of the community with varying technical skills.

Should the evaluation be done by one person, the mayor? Would the appointment be political if done by the mayor? My understanding is that was the reason for the change in the Charter years ago.

Why change the process that is not broken? Leave it alone.

My comments are personal; however, come from serving on the Police Commission for seven years, the Liquor Commission and the Adjudication Board for 10 years, Maui Historic Commission for five years --

MS. KAHUHU: Time.

MR. SOARES: -- and the Fire Commission for six years.

I am wrapping up, so give me a few minutes.

Thank you for your time and consideration of the above viewpoint and comments. Do not disenfranchise the community from assisting in the process. This would occur with diminished responsibility of the commissions.

25 Note further that there was testimony to mentioned that's by a commission?

MR. SOARES: DPS.

MEMBER OKAMOTO: Thank you.

CHAIR STONE: Commissioner Crivello.

5 MEMBER CRIVELLO: Aloha, Butch. I have to ask 6 for your opinion since you served on the Police

7 Commission as well as the Fire Commission. Do you see

8 one commission better than the other? There has been 9 some discussion that Police Commission should remain,

10 but the Fire Commission should be put back to appointee

11 by the mayor.

12

MR. SOARES: I think the answer is relatively simple. Okay. My memory -- and I'm only going by memory at this point -- the Police Commission has been in effect for probably around 20 or 25 years. The Fire Commission was established about seven years ago. The Police Commission has a lot of history and a lot of time that they've worked as a commission. Serving on both commissions -- and let me say this: I guess I was lucky enough, because when I was on the Police Commission, I happened to be part of the group that selected Tom Phillips for chief. I think that was the smartest move

22

we ever made. And I take one-ninth of the credit.

24 Okay.

With regard to the Fire Department, I also was

Page 26

eliminate the Fire Commission, a major mistake.

Wishing you wisdom and good guidance in your decisions that will impact our County government for many years to come.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you very much, Mr. Soares. Thank you so much for your testimony. Commissioners, clarification questions? Commissioner Sugimura.

MEMBER SUGIMURA: So I would just like to say, first of all, it's very impressive, the amount of years that you have given to the community. That's a lot of years. Thank you.

And I can tell by your testimony that -- and your involvement with commission work in general, seems like you specialized in knowing how to -- how to understand it, how to understand the Charter and your responsibilities. So I'm taking your testimony regarding that you're saying, regarding Police -- I think Phillips did a really good job, too. And your current selection and what you say about the Fire Department is very important to me. As we've heard, you know, different. So I want to thank you for coming forward.

CHAIR STONE: Commissioner Okamoto. MEMBER OKAMOTO: One question. You had mentioned Liquor Control, what was the second one you on the commission when we selected Chief Murray. Okay The process was relatively similar.

And for -- for the comment that was made in the morning paper, Maui News, relative to disassembling the Fire Commission, I, basically, feel that's a mistake. The Fire Commission is the one group, along with the Police Commission, that they do evaluate the chief. The evaluation is not done by the Council or the mayor. It's done by nine individuals and they evaluate the chief. If the evaluation is marginal, then, naturally, the chief will be informed that his performance is marginal. If he's doing an outstanding job, there's no question that he would remain there.

The other major function of the -- of the two commissions -- two -- the two other major is, number one, they do select the chief, which is nonpolitical at this point in time, and, number two, they evaluate the budget as the department prepares it. Now, I can -- I can tell you that some of you probably are very familiar with the process.

The Fire Department and the Police Department prepare a budget, they present it to their commission. Their commission reviews it, makes adjustments upwards or downwards, and that goes to the mayor. The mayor makes adjustments upwards or downwards and it goes to

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 31

the Council. And then the Council makes adjustments up or downwards. And guess what? That's the budget. So what started off at the department may be drastically different than what the eventual process is -- or the eventual budget is. So maybe if we talk about the Police Commission and the -- and the Liquor Commission, the budget process, they're just one segment. I don't know that they have a major input in the final, because the major input is going to be between the mayor and the Council.

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But if -- to me, if I see taking away the -the Fire Commission, and having it -- having that person
be appointed by the mayor -- I don't know how many of
you have been here for however long, but I can tell you,
in about the last 25 years, it seems like, for a while
there, we were getting chiefs every four or every eight
years, you know. I can -- I can think about five guys
that were chiefs, you know.

And the process with the Police Department was sort of similar. And then, all of a sudden -- you know, we had Howard Tagomori for many years, then we got Tom Phillips for 11 years, and, hopefully, we got Gary

Yabuta for as long as he wishes to serve.

I don't know if I answered your question.

CHAIR STONE: Commissioner DeLeon.

deliberately, I made a point of going, I had to go
through security, I had to, basically, sign in to the
police station, and then you have to go upstairs to this
secured area where the chief's office is. And,
basically, the meeting's held in the chief's office. I
found that pretty limiting for the public.

What did the Police Commission -- how did the

What did the Police Commission -- how did the Police Commission actually reach out to the public so that there's a connection between -- because that's -that's the public's connection to the Police Department. Not the mayor. I mean, people are always calling the Mayor's Office and making complaints about the Police, but there is no place where the fact goes, the Police -the mayor can pass it down, but it doesn't really -sometimes sends it to the Police Commission and sometimes sends it to the chief. But that's like secondhand; it's not going to be straight. Straight would be from the public to the commission. But if the commission -- I mean, if the commission's meeting in the -- well, the other thing was about -- at the police station was no parking. I mean, you would have to hunt to find a parking space there. So it would be really hard for the public to get in there. How can we get the Police Commission out of the chief's office and out to -- I mean, in the Charter, could you see a place where

Page 30

Page 32

```
MEMBER DELEON: Thank you for coming tonight,
 1
 2
      Butch, to provide us an opportunity to get a lesson on
 3
      how things work. Ever since you served on the board,
 4
      the Police and Fire, have you ever seen -- and Liquor,
      have you ever seen the director or the chiefs actually
 5
 6
       get a poor evaluation?
 7
            MR. SOARES: I can say we -- I've seen a
 8
       marginal evaluation.
 9
            MEMBER DELEON: A, one?
10
            MR. SOARES: I'm sorry?
            MEMBER DELEON: One?
11
12
            MR. SOARES: One in those years, yes.
13
            MEMBER DELEON: Okay. So we have outstanding
14
       leadership, then?
15
            MR. SOARES: I would -- I would say yes.
            MEMBER DELEON: Okay. Police Commission -- I
16
17
       was involved with Maui County government at one point
18
       for like 25 years, in some form or another, reporter,
19
      community activist, and part of administrations. And I
20
      came to realize -- at the point where there was two
21
       shootings in a row, the one in Paia and the one on the
22
      beach -- and in Kihei where the police officers had to
23
      take people down, unfortunately, I came to realize I've
24
      never been to a Police Commission meeting. I mean --
```

and when I did go to the Police Commission meeting

it says twice, three, four times a year they have to meet out in the public?

MR. SOARES: You threw a lot of comments out there, so let me try and --

MEMBER DELEON: That's --

MR. SOARES: That's on you.

MEMBER DELEON: How do we open it up so the public can get there?

MR. SOARES: Okay. First of all, if you as a citizen have a complaint about a particular fireman, if you called the --

MEMBER DELEON: Police Chief, I'm talking about.

MR. SOARES: I'm sorry, the police officer. There is a secretary for the Police Commission. She has a form that you can fill out. And if you don't want to go to the police station -- I'm only telling you what I remember when I was there, okay, so if they changed the process -- but when I was there, if you wanted to file a complaint about a police officer and you didn't want to go to the Police Department, you went to the library and you picked up the form, you mailed it in to the attention of the Police Commission, she would open it, and you would come to the commission. When the commission got that question or -- or -- or wanting to

have something investigated, an officer investigated, the Police Commission at that time -- I'm only telling you what I know during my tenure. At that time we had an inspector. And we gave that to that inspector and said, research this complaint. Okay. And he was a retired policeman, not from Maui County. Okay. Then he went out and researched the complaint and he brought it back to us. If there was justification for the complaint, then we would refer that to the chief and tell the chief, we know about this complaint, now you -you -- you do your own investigation and come back to 

us. Now, as far as the meeting -- the meeting location, you know, if -- if what you're saying is still in practice, then I hate to tell you, but at least the Fire Department is a little bit more liberal in their thinking because, four or five times a year, we meet at the David Trask Building. It's not in -- not in the Fire Department; it's at -- it's a public place. It's -- the meetings are posted like any other commission/department meeting. And, you know, we've had -- we've had people come to our -- our meetings, especially at the time where we were doing the transition from one chief to the other. So as far as how you get it out of the Police Department, tell the

the Police Commission.

MR. SOARES: When I was on the Police Commission, we -- we had meetings in different areas. We met in Lahaina -- we met in Lahaina one night. And we had three less people than we have right now. Okay. We met up in Haiku once. And there were like five people. We went to Lanai and we met -- we met in Lanai. We went to Molokai and met in Molokai. This is the five years that I was on the Police Commission. I don't want to tell you that we went every year, but my recollection is like it was almost every other year, maybe. And maybe every year. I cannot recall.

I don't think, right now, any of the department -- you know, the Police or the Fire Commission has the wherewithal to probably go to Molokai or Lanai because they got no money. But the point is if the Commission itself is -- is taking the first step and has some kind of initiative and creative thinking, yeah, they can go to Haiku and they can meet and, you know, wherever. Nothing restricts them from that.

MEMBER DELEON: Butch, do you think the chief's office is a public place?

MR. SOARES: I do.

MEMBER DELEON: Okay. For the Fire Commission, how much public input, interaction do you

Page 34

Police Commission to go meet up at David Trask Building. They got a beautiful room. That's my answer to the solution [sic].

MEMBER DELEON: So if you see something in the Charter that says that the Police Commission has to meet in public, say quarterly?

MR. SOARES: I don't know if it says that in the Charter.

MEMBER DELEON: No, no, no, no. I'm suggesting that.

suggesting that.

MR. SOARES: Well -
MEMBER DELEON: But, you know, one suggestion floating around out there right now that says it should be under the mayor because there's no political oversight. And -- and I don't necessarily agree with that. I mean, I don't think -- I think Police should be independent of the political system and it should be a commission running it. But, to me, right now, the Commission's isolated. So you have inspector go out on complaints, but what about general stuff like there's not enough cops on the road, they're eating too many doughnuts, whatever, it's -- you know, it's just that -- that contact with the public, with the general community you're serving. And, you know, this is not about you;

this is about what I observed a couple times I went to

Page 36

get?

MR. SOARES: I'll be candid with you and tell you that I think the biggest challenge for the Fire Commission -- and I'm -- this is -- I'm back on again. I was on for five years and I was off for two or three, and now I'm back on again. And this is my third meeting. I think the biggest problem -- or situation relative to the Fire Commission is the fact that, because it's so new, I don't think most of the community are aware that it is in existence. Okay. We try to -- you know, to get the word out. I have invited a couple of people to come to the meeting that I felt would be able to get the word out, whether they were reporters or -- or just civic-minded people.

But to -- to disassemble the Fire Commission for whatever reason there is -- whatever reason you guys come up with for the Fire Commission, I tell you what, take the other three guys and do the same thing. Get rid of 'em all. Get rid of all the commissions. You know, I don't know how much you will save on business cards. Probably a couple hundred bucks. So --

MEMBER DELEON: I'll be honest. I do have a concern about the Fire Commission, about the mayor no selecting the Fire Chief. And my -- my concern is the lack of political -- political oversight of the

department that doesn't have police powers. But they do have inspectors that go out to the public. And your inspectors are known for being sometimes highhanded, and sometimes, you know, really kind of pushy for public safety purposes, but push it past the point of being logical.

And in the Mayor's Office, I've been there when the Mayor's Office used to have authority over the Police -- the Fire Department. And we pulled the inspectors back and told them, you know, that they were being carried away. That Mayor's oversight is missing in -- in this arrangement. The mayor cannot order anybody around in the Fire Department; you got to go through the chief. And chief can ignore him because he's not hired by the mayor; he is hired by the Fire Commission. That -- that part of the aspect is something that concerns me. And I've seen it personally. Seen the case happen where -- where the inspectors were out of line, and the chief wasn't pulling them in. It was the Mayor's Office pulling them So, I mean, that's -- that's my concern. MR. SOARES: You want me to make my comment on my observations on that?

MEMBER DELEON: Yeah.

Page 39

at that point because it's a power of one. I -- I -- CHAIR STONE: Thank you.

MR. SOARES: I don't -- I don't agree with this appointment.

CHAIR STONE: Commissioner DeLeon, one second, we have a few questions coming from this side of the table, but you're welcome to come back and go back for further questions.

Mr. Soares, thank you for your patience. It's your expertise that we're --

MR. SOARES: Yeah.

CHAIR STONE: -- we're happy to have here. So, unfortunately, you're gonna be sitting there for a little while, if you don't mind. We have a question from Commissioner Moikeha.

MEMBER MOIKEHA: Thank you for your testimony One question. Yes, we did have a testifier who was part -- initially part in helping form the commission and, I believe, a fire captain retired. And he was at this point where he felt that it should be abolished. The example that he gave to me was more something that could be resolved by keeping the commission intact. And that example was, if I remember correctly, he felt that when firefighters came in that they didn't have a clear understanding of what they needed to do to advance

Page 38

MR. SOARES: Okay. I can tell you that, before the Police -- before the Fire Commission was organized -- and I can go back to 10 or 15 or 20 years, when the Fire Chief was under the direction of the mayor, I was witness to certain issues that came up that was mandated through the inspectors to the developer. You need to do this before you gonna get your certificate of occupancy, however petty it was. And the guy two doors away was getting his certificate of occupancy just, basically, because he was a friend of somebody. I agree with you, in the sense that if we go back five or 10 years, the Fire inspectors, there were challenges to their authority.

I sincerely believe that with Chief Murray, that has gone away, because his point of tolerance is very limited. And I can tell you that there are times, lately, that, all of a sudden, he's dealing with the Fire Fighters Union because they're not accepting the fact that, hey, your guys are not doing this according to what we mandate. And he's — and he's pulling in the renegades, which is all well and good. That's his job.

Now, if the -- if the renegades are -- are getting directions from the mayor or somebody else up along the way, who is gonna -- who's gonna be the -- who's gonna be the bad cop? There's going to be no cop

Page 40

within that department, maybe up to a level of a chief or whatever. You know, to me, that is something that you can fix internally. I -- I don't really see it as justification to abolish a whole commission because of that as an example. So what I'm asking you now is within these commissions as they are now defined, their authority, their powers, what they shall do, in the current Charter, is there anything that you see that needs to be strengthened to maybe help, you know, the goal of what you're trying to do in keeping it impartial and keeping it nonpolitical and keeping it straight up and doing a good job that they're supposed to be doing? And if you don't have anything specific right now, if something comes to you later, having served on all these commissions, you know, we welcome that. But I just would ask you to think about that, is there anything that we need to do more to strengthen the authority of the commissions.

MR. SOARES: The way -- the way the Charter is written now, the commissions -- and I can only speak to the Fire and the Police -- but the way the Charter is written now, the limitations that the commissions have relative to the operation of the department is somewhat limited. Okay. And I think I can understand the reason for that. Because if the commission, all of a sudden,

off.

is the people that are telling the Fire Chief, hey, you
gotta do this and you gotta do that, he may -- that
commissioner may be only responding because, hey, you
know, my neighbor's son is not getting promoted and what
the heck are you guys doing. Well, maybe the chief
evaluated the guy, and he's not entitled to be promoted.

But I do know that within both the Fire and the -- the Police Departments -- and when I speak to the Police Department, I want you to understand that I've been away from it for about seven or eight years. So what has happened over that time, I couldn't tell you. But I do know that there is a testing -- there is a testing process. And, eventually, my understanding is, DPS is the people that say, okay, you need a captain, these are the three guys, you can pick from one of the three, but they -- they met -- say they're the top three out of the 10 or 20 that applied. So they've narrowed it down for you. And to me, the chief -- you know, the chief doesn't have that much power to say, hey, you know, I want my cousin to be.

Now, I can tell you, in all honesty, the old system, the Fire Chief had his son and his nephew and his whatever that were working there. And I can refer to one particular case where the Fire Chief's son took a boat out, County Fire Department boat, and he went understanding is the manager of the County of Maui is the Managing Director. All the department heads are under him, and he reports directly to the mayor. Okay. So we have somebody that supposedly is in that position and are we utilizing him properly. And maybe the answer is maybe Civil Service, DPS, they're the person that should select the Managing Director and give him a term based on good performance. And if he does poorly, he's

not sure. But from just Joe Blow on the street, my

MEMBER MOIKEHA: Thank you very much. I appreciate your comment.

CHAIR STONE: Commissioner Baxa, please.

MEMBER BAXA: Mr. Soares, I would like to
personally thank you for coming today. I heard from
you, and as a man who has been involved, employed, in
fact, in these two departments, as you said, the Police
not employed, but as a commissioner, Police Commissioner
and Fire Commissioner. I like to hear from experience,
because I value experience. And I have heard from you.
There is one question that bothers me a little bit. Not
so much from your point of view, but from the current
language of the Charter. I hope this is what is in the
current Charter. It says: On Section -- I don't know
what article is this -- Article 7, Section 7-5, Powers,

Page 42

fishing. And guess what? He kept the fish and he kept
his job, because the Fire Chief was responsible to the
mayor and, therefore, the mayor said, leave the guy
alone. And they did.

MEMBER MOIKEHA: Okay. Thank you for the

MEMBER MOIKEHA: Okay. Thank you for that. I just have one more question. In this West Maui Charter Working Group, are you familiar with this report at all?

MR. SOARES: Not at all.

MEMBER MOIKEHA: Okay. Then let me just throw this out. One of their proposals dealt with a county manager. And what the county manager would do, would actually manage the County, something similar to a CEO of a corporation, and the mayor would be more ceremonial and possibly, in this suggestion of this report, is a non-voting chair of the Council. What I like about something -- or looking at something as a county manager, it does take politics out of it, too, in my opinion. Kind of like what these commissions are doing, is taking the politics out of it. Have you given that any thought as far as a county manager and having that put into the Charter?

MR. SOARES: I'm going to give you my opinion.
Okay. It's only one man's opinion. And I don't
understand the bureaucracy of the upper level of our
County. So for me to tell you one way or the other, I'm

Page 44

- Duties and Functions, this pertains to the mayor. Under
   Section 1, states, "Exercise supervision, directly or
   through the Managing Director, over all departments,"
- 4 and Article 8 of this Charter, "and other agencies as
- 5 provided by law." The question that I have with this 6 provision, is this: "The mayor shall have direct
- 7 supervision over all the departments." So that would
- 8 include the Police -- the Police Department, the Fire
- 9 Department. Now I understand that, for example, the
- Police Commission, they have the power of selecting and firing the chief. So now that sentence says, the mayor
- has direct supervision over the Police or the Fire
- 13 Department. What kind of -- do you think there is
- something wrong with that, when the mayor has no sayabout in the selection and firing of these departments?
  - I do not mean to say that the commission --
- commissions -- these two commissions are not functioning
   well. In fact, I think you have provided me with some
   voice of experience. Not some, but a good part.

The question that I have for you is, would you then suggest that this provision in the current Charter be amended to say, like this, except -- you said that you are concerned about the Police and the Fire Departments. Should it say, then, "Except for the Police Department and the Fire Department, the mayor

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

shall exercise supervision directly over the departments." Are you recommending that this language should be changed?

MR. SOARES: You know, I read the Charter. And, unfortunately, that's why you're an attorney and I'm not. Okay. Because I read each item throughout and I never reverted one back to the other. Okay. What you're saying the Charter is saying, to me, it should be amended to take the four, not just the two, the four,

MEMBER BAXA: Which four?

MR. SOARES: The four meaning DPS --

MEMBER BAXA: Oh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SOARES: -- Liquor, Police and Fire. The mayor cannot fire the Liquor Director. The Liquor Director is appointed by the Liquor Commission. Now, one of the comments that I saw along the way that said the Liquor Adjudication and -- and the -- the Liquor Adjudication and the Liquor Commission should be put together. I agree with that because the Adjudication is penalizing somebody and the Liquor Commission, on the other end, is the guy that's giving or taking away the permit. And they're not working in sync. To me, if somebody comes to the Liquor Commission, they get the

has a question for you.

In a number of locations that we've been going to, there has been concern about what has been presented to us as the autonomy of the Liquor Control. And that was a question, for example, where we -- we noticed that oftentimes Liquor Commissioners are allowed to move over to Liquor Adjudication Board and vice versa back and forth. So it's literally the same people running the commission and the Adjudication Board for the last 20 years or so. Comments on that?

Because we actually have an educational thing coming up at one of our normal meetings to explain how the Liquor Commission and how the Liquor Adjudication Board works, so we understand. How would you -- how would you respond to a concerned citizen about the seemingly autonomous Liquor Commission or Liquor Control system?

MR. SOARES: Let me -- let me say right up front, so that there's no mystery, and somebody finds out there's a skeleton in my closet, okay, I went from the Liquor Adjudication Board to the Liquor Commission, and then I went to the Fire -- I mean the Police Commission. But I think that -- and probably Dave DeLeon could answer or give you guys the history behind it better than me. Every -- every year, there's a

## Page 46

take away the permit, not expect the Adjudication Board to do it. So that's -- that's the only point that I see relative to Liquor Department [sic] and Adjudication Board. I don't know if you are all aware, but they are two commissions.

permit, they violate the permit, the commission should

MEMBER BAXA: Yes, I'm aware of that. But since you said that you were really concerned about the Fire and Police Commissions, so that's why I zeroed on these two commissions.

MR. SOARES: Well, I -- my comments have been predominantly relative to the Fire and the Police because I'm very familiar with it. I think that the four -- the four commissions that do the hiring and the firing of that particular department, I believe those four commissions all should stay in place.

MEMBER BAXA: Yeah. So if I understand correctly from you, then the provision of the current Charter should be amended to reflect what you are testifying today?

MR. SOARES: I think what it should do is clarify, you know, under the Mayor's title except for these departments which he cannot terminate the department head.

MEMBER BAXA: Thank you very much. CHAIR STONE: Mr. Soares, thank you. Chair Page 48

notice put out that says anybody interested on being any board or commission, fill it out, send it in. Well, 3 naturally, when the -- the forms are reviewed, it's like, hey, you know, this guy was on the Police Commission and he's been away for three or four years. hey, you wanna be back on the Police Commission. And nine times out of ten, the answer is gonna be yes.

You know, when they told me to go back to the Fire Commission, I -- I thought this is great, only because I not only understand, I spent five years learning it. I think the important thing as the County, the County should -- they should have either an orientation or a training of every new commissioner. Okay. When you get appointed, and you got to go get your notary swearing in that this is your whatever, that's all it is. The next thing they tell you is, show up at the next meeting, you know. I mean, you know, do you know what color the firemen's uniform is, nah, doesn't matter, I'm here.

And the point, I guess, I'm alluding to is when you have new people on a commission, they should have an orientation. I don't want to tell you how long ago this goes back, because I'm dating myself, but when we had a mayor by the name of Linda Lingle, every time you were a new -- new commissioner, you had an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

orientation and she told you what was expected. And

it's kind of funny because I was involved in -- in a

1

2

15

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 3 comment one time where she and I had to have a minor
- 4 confrontation of understanding where she told us at the
- 5 orientation, your position is not political. You are
- 6 there to perform the service for the County, blah, blah,
- 7 blah. Well, somewhere down the road, all of a sudden,
- 8 there was a little issue of, gee -- you know, gee,
- 9 Butch, I think it would really be nice if you guys
- 10 headed in this direction. And I was like, I thought

this is not political. And if I go the other way, then 11

what happens to me, right? And, unfortunately, I went

12 13 the other way and I -- I guess I didn't get the bullet. 14

CHAIR STONE: The reason I bring that up as well is because the words that I was hearing was accountability. For example, we had some people come out that were restaurant owners, and they said, hey, if

18 Liquor Control is coming down so hard on me, I have 19 nowhere to go, I am just stuck with this enforcement

20 whether it's -- it's fair or not. There's nowhere for

21 them to take the issue. And what it boiled down to was

22 these people said, I want to be able to go to the mayor

23 and I want the mayor -- to be able to say, mayor, these

24 people are doing this to me and it feels very unfair, I 25 want the director to be held accountable or the

Page 50

enforcement agency to be held accountable. So that was the issue we are hearing on the opposite side of what you're arguing about keeping the commissions. Where is the accountability?

MR. SOARES: Let me -- let me say this. And I can only speak, again, from something that I experienced. There was a 4th of July years ago and Hyatt and Royal Lahaina and Kaanapali Beach all had major functions for the 4th of July. One was doing a kalua pig whatever fest. The three properties had big

- 11 events. I was on the Adjudication Board. All of a 12 sudden, we get this report that says we are going to be
- 13 hearing from one of the hotels that got cited for liquor
- 14 violations. And, initially, you say, okay. The
- 15 Adjudication Board questioned all the inspectors that
- 16 were working that day. And because the Adjudication
- 17 Board at that time, seemingly, was somewhat aggressive,
- 18 and they were looking at the Liquor Director as somebody
- 19 that worked for them -- not worked -- you know, they
- 20 were working for the director. The point is, when we
- 21 did the inspection and the questioning and the
- 22 interrogation, we found out that the four inspectors
- went to one property and they were there all day and 23
- 24 half the night. So the other two properties didn't see
- 25 any liquor inspectors. Just one property got, I don't

know, 14 or 15 citations. And the manager, naturally, was upset. When we found out what really happened, we asked the director to dismiss all the citations. And, initially, it was no, and then, eventually, it was yes.

But the thing is the Adjudication Board and the Liquor Commission, they both have their own legal counsel. So if you have a commission that is sincere and dedicated to the community, and not just signing up because I want to be on this commission because I know can go Baltimore or Kauai, or wherever it is, then -you know, that guy shouldn't be on the commission.

And I've seen members of the commission that they don't read their minutes. You know, you come to the meeting and you got a question on the minutes, and they're like, oh, I didn't know we said that. That's

But in answer to your question, the commission can be authoritative because they have the power and the liberty to make decisions. It's how -- what is their mindset as to how aggressive they want to be or how thorough they want to investigate it.

CHAIR STONE: So question: How often, for example, besides retirement, has any of these commissions replaced one of the chiefs or directors? Say -- let's take the Police Commission. How many

Page 52

Page 51

1 times, in your knowledge, has the Police Commission 2 actually replaced the chief when he wasn't retiring? Or 3 did we have perfect chiefs of police in the history of

4 Maui County? 5 MR. SOARES: I probably would end up speaking

6 out of school. And maybe I'll have Archie go start my 7 car. Okay. But I can tell you that we've had -- when I 8 was on the Fire Commission, we had a chief that his --

9 his evaluation was, like I say, marginal. And we were

10 making more demands on him even after we evaluated him.

11 And the second evaluation wasn't any better than the 12 first. And I think -- and I'm just telling you I

13 think -- the writing was on the wall and, therefore, he

14 retired. Okay. He had 35 years or 30 years service. 15 Okay. And I don't want to say that, because of his

16 evaluations or whatever, he retired, I'd like to think 17 not, but I am sure that it had a part of it.

18 As far as the Fire Chiefs -- I mean, the 19 Police Chiefs, I gotta go way back because, over the 20 years, we had -- we had Tom Phillips that was there for 21 12 or 13 years, and I think Howard Tagomori was there 22 for -- I don't know -- I want to say eight or 10 years.

23 So, you know, I mean we talking about 20-years-plus.

24 But I do know that, when I was a much younger person, that we had a chief that got in trouble, and the mayor

called him in and took away his badge.

CHAIR STONE: But that was the mayor?

MEMBER DEREGO: Mr. Chair?

MR. SOARES: That was the mayor because at

that time the commission was not in place.

CHAIR STONE: And for Liquor, any idea on how long, say, a Liquor Director has been removed or changed?

MEMBER DEREGO: Mr. Chair?

MR. SOARES: I don't think a Liquor Director has been removed, but I -- see, the other thing that -- you know, the other thing to my testimony, which I think is important for you to remember is, are these department heads being evaluated. In all the time I was on the Liquor Commission and Adjudication Board, the Liquor Director never got an evaluation. So, I mean, if he's got one in the last few years, I don't know about it. And then if they're doing it, that's fine.

MEMBER DEREGO: Mr. Chair, I have a question.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you so much. Thank you. Please, go ahead, Mr. DeRego.

MEMBER DEREGO: I think what's being focused on here -- and I've been listening for a few minutes -is the fact that -- and I think you used the words yourself -- that you could suggest or give a hint to the and giving them the writing on the wall than you are with an administrative kind of process where the mayor can actually hire or fire the Police Chief or the Fire Chief? You're more comfortable with a process like that?

MR. SOARES: I am because, somewhere years ago, before the commissions were in place, the mayor fired one of the Police Chiefs. And then by the time it was all over, the chief ended up retiring and the County paid him a substantial bonus for retiring rather than — I guess, when they went to court, they found out that, gee, you couldn't fire this guy. So I think, with a commission, you got nine people that are deciding do we fire this guy and do we have just cause, and we talk to our legal counsel. I'm not saying that the mayor is an arbitrary person, but if the mayor says, "Hey, guess what, Dave, you're gone," who's — who's going to be the one to deal with it? The one gonna be dealing with it is going to be the Corporation Counsel that got to go get the mayor off the hook, if he is on the hook.

CHAIR STONE: Very good. Thank you. Commission Okamoto.

MEMBER OKAMOTO: Just one quick question. On the Police Commission, how much time would you say is spent on personnel where you would be having executive

Page 54

Page 56

```
Fire Chief that he should go, but there's nobody who can actually say, "You're fired." And --
```

MR. SOARES: No. We can say, "You're fired."

MEMBER DEREGO: You can?

MR. SOARES: We can. But when we say, "You're fired," we have to have just cause. Okay?

MEMBER DEREGO: Okay.

MR. SOARES: You know how just cause is? You're sitting next to somebody that, you know, would probably defend the outgoing Fire Chief because of unjust cause.

MEMBER DEREGO: Okay. And this gets back to the Chair's original question. Has anybody ever been fired for just cause? Which --

CHAIR STONE: In your knowledge?

MR. SOARES: Well, and as I said, not to my knowledge. I don't think they get fired. I think that -- I think -- and I want to emphasize think -- that I can -- I can think of two instances where a department head left because of some writing on the wall. Now, would he have been fired? Yes, no, maybe so. But he -- he left before we got to that stage.

MEMBER DEREGO: So you're more comfortable with a process that's more moral suasion, in a sense, right, more moral persuasion in the sense of evaluation

sessions? You're talking personnel issues, possibly an officer that's -- yeah, disciplinary type of thing. How much time in your regular year of meetings would be spent on that kind of thing?

MR. SOARES: I think, most of the time I was

MR. SOARES: I think, most of the time I was on the Police Commission and we went into executive session, we were dealing with issues from the community. You know, John Doe said the police officer came and handcuffed me and beat me up and dah, dah, dah, dah, dah. And that came to the commission. Sometimes, and most of the time, we -- we do the investigating, and whatever the resolution was, then you would go to the chief and the disciplinary action was done by the chief. We -- we didn't discipline anybody directly. That's --

MEMBER OKAMOTO: Was that very often that you would have those kinds of cases?

MR. SOARES: Probably two or three times a year.

MEMBER OKAMOTO: Okay.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Commissioner Wiger, question.

MEMBER WIGER: Yes. Going back to the issue of just cause, because you indicated that you felt, with the commission, before they would dismiss someone, they would have to be able to demonstrate just cause in terms

Page 60

of dismissal. Would that not also apply to a mayor in terms of dismissing someone? Would they not also have to be able to demonstrate just cause to do that?

2.4

2.0

MR. SOARES: That's true. But with the mayor -- and I'm not -- I'm not trying to point a finger at any mayor in particular, but there's been situations where a mayor is saying, hey, you, you know, and then, later on, it goes to legal -- there's legal ramifications and then -- then it's either yea or nay. And if it's yea, half of the time you end up taking the person back only for him to retire. And then he laughs at you.

CHAIR STONE: Commissioner Moikeha.

MEMBER MOIKEHA: Thank you for staying so long for these questions. And I really think that we all need to go back and read exactly what you are charged to do. Because when I look on Page 19 of this Charter, on Chapter 7, on the Fire Commission, one through seven clearly defines your role, and that's you adopt rules -- we as a commission adopt our rules to function under -- you review and submit the -- to the mayor the department's request for annual appropriation -- we're dealing with funding. And so when it was cited as to the powers of -- on Page 12 that Judge Baxa had cited earlier, as far as supervision, that's still

this. We have asked the Department of Fire, the Chief, to come and talk more about operations. Because the most important thing here at the end says, "Except for purposes of inquiry or as otherwise provided in this Charter, neither the commission nor its members shall interfere in any way with the administrative affairs of the department." And, once again, the mayor still has supervision over that department. So, you know, this is really getting down to who can hire and fire. And I think it makes a lot of people nervous.

MR. SOARES: I guess I didn't communicate myself properly, but the point -- I guess one of the key points that I was trying to allude to is the fact that the Fire Commission and the Police Commission and the Liquor Commission and the DPS, Civil Service Commission they select their department head. Okay.

If you go back further into the history, you will find that certain mayors were very dictatorial.

And if you were one of those people that didn't have your bumper sticker, his -- his bumper sticker on your car, hey, he could pull the plug on you and what you gonna do. And so the point, I guess, is the Police and the Fire Commission especially, can evaluate the chief. If he's not -- doing poorly, they can certainly put him on notice and tell 'em, hey, you got 90 days or you got

Page 58

a year to rectify your challenges.

supervisory, it comes under the mayor. You can only recommend. You review operations of the department. You're doing it as a recommendation for change. Nobody says they have to take your advice. The others are annual performance, annual report to the mayor -- still, we're going back to the mayor -- have such other powers and duties as prescribed by the law. And I think one of the most important things that I'm concerned about is Number 4, and that you review -- receive, review and investigate any charges brought forth by the public against the conduct of the Department of Fire and Public Safety and any of its members and submit a written report of its findings and recommendations.

And, yes, you do have the authority to fire someone who is not doing their job. But anybody would know that has been any kind of business person, you're not going to fire anybody unless you have just cause. And that is so true for the mayor as well.

I think we're all getting hung up on the fact that the mayor doesn't have control over everybody that he hires and fires. And I think some of us might think that he should. But, you know, I'm looking at this and I think we really need to understand -- and we have requested the Fire and Public Safety Commission/Board chairpersons to come and talk more story with us about

The other thing is, I -- I feel that it's that important that the evaluation process of the chief is done by a group of people that are independent. Because tell you what, we evaluate the chief, and whether he's doing good, bad or indifferent, we can turn around and say, hey, you know what, this evaluation is poor, guess what, you know, you're on notice. We -- we can do that. Now, have we done it? No, we haven't. And, you know, I mean, Stacy probably can tell you and Wayne can tell you, because these two guys I know were on both the Fire and the Police Commission.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Actually, I have a question. Mr. Soares, you've been such a wealth of knowledge for us right now. And considering that we have such a small crowd this evening, would you mind, after the break, coming back up? Because there's more questions from the Commissioners. Is that okay?

MR. SOARES: Yeah, that's fine.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you very much. So we're going to take -- the court reporter needs a --

MS. KAHUHU: We have one more.

CHAIR STONE: I'm sorry. We have one more speaker. Why don't we take a break, please, for 10 minutes? We'll go back to that speaker, all right, then

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

2.4

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bring Mr. Soares back up, if that's okay with you. Thank you very much. We'll take a 10-minute break now (Recess, 7:57 p.m. to 8:12 p.m.)

CHAIR STONE: I'll call this meeting back to order following our short recess, and we'll continue with our next testifier. Lisa, next testifier.

MS. KAHUHU: Archie Kalepa.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIR STONE: Mr. Kalepa, thank you very much for coming today.

MR. KALEPA: Good evening, everyone. I just wanted to -- the reason why I'm here -- I'm here speaking as a person of this community. And I just listening to Butch talk about the different commissions. And I'm here to say that I think that Ocean Safety should fall under the Public Safety Commission. You know, the -- there's two commissions, it's Fire/Public Safety. And in the last 15 years, Ocean Safety has actually grown into a different level of operation, a different level of function within this community.

Right now, Ocean Safety is in the Parks and Recreation. I'm not saying it needs to get out of Parks and Recreation, but the function of Ocean Safety and what they do is a public safety service. There's nothing that we do today that is recreational. We don't teach surf lessons. We don't teach people how to swim.

MEMBER MOIKEHA: And with the establishment o the Fire and Public Safety Commission, that should be under that, it should be moved under that? Okay. So it seems like it would need a Charter change. Is that the only way to go about moving that from under Parks and Recreation to this commission?

MR. KALEPA: You know, I really don't know how to answer that, but I think the commission -- the Fire/Public Safety Commission would help determine part of our function in a public safety manner. And that's where we would go to -- that's how the commission would work. That's how they should function, is to help facilitate or address public safety concerns through the commission, address it to the commission, make it part of a reality, whether it be a budgetary item, whether it be an operational function, whether it be some kind of mandate.

MEMBER MOIKEHA: Okay. Thank you. MR. KALEPA: You're welcome.

20 CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Commissioner DeRego.

21 We can pass down the mic. Thank you.

> MEMBER DEREGO: Excuse me. I'm sorry. We always go through this in terms of is there an easier way to do this besides changing sort of what's mandated in the Charter, is there an administrative way of doing

this, is there a way of changing functions. So this is

Page 62

What we do is we provide a life-saving service day in and day out.

I've had the opportunity, in the last 15 years, to travel around the world and share my expertise within ocean safety. And I've seen lifeguard agencies throughout this country move into a public safety capacity. And I think that this island is ready for us to move in that direction. How it happens, I do not know, but if we fell under the Charter -- or the Public Safety Commission, it would help guide that vehicle in that direction.

So I'm here to make a recommendation to the Charter Commission that, if there is a possibility to make that presentation, if I can educate you guys in any way, to share my knowledge in that regard, you know, I think that that should happen.

CHAIR STONE: Thank you very much, Mr. Kalepa. Very, very good point. Thank you. Commissioners, clarification, questions for Mr. Kalepa? Very good. MEMBER MOIKEHA: Chair?

CHAIR STONE: Yes, question.

MEMBER MOIKEHA: I have a question. Thank you

for your testimony. So Ocean Safety, you said, now

24 comes under Parks and Recreation? 25

MR. KALEPA: That's correct.

Page 64

where these questions are coming from. 2

MR. KALEPA: Right.

MEMBER DEREGO: But it does make perfect sense to have something to do with public safety with the Fire and Public Safety Commission. We do have the Civil Defense Agency which currently is, also, regulated by the Fire and Public Safety Commission. I'm wondering if there's a way, when we look at this, that, you know, just as we look at the Civil Defense Agency, which is under the Fire, maybe just developing a chapter or something that would also look at Ocean Safety which is something that's on all three islands, actually --

MR. KALEPA: Correct.

MEMBER DEREGO: -- of the county. So, you know, thank you for bringing up the suggestion. I hadn't even thought about it before. And right now, right, it's under Parks, and maybe it needs to be somehow moved, but we're going to have to make that decision. And whether we make a suggestion, administratively, it gets changed in some way, shape or form as a part of the recommendation, maybe not a Charter amendment, but, you know, it's something definitely that I think the Commission should look at. Thank you for bringing that up.

(Pages 61 to 64)

Page 65 Page 67 1 MR. KALEPA: Thank you. established shall review the operation of the agency, 2 CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Commissioners, any 2 make recommendations for changes which may be desirable 3 3 further questions, clarifications? Yes, Commissioner to improve, et cetera, et cetera. So the Fire and 4 4 Public Safety Commission does have some role in Civil 5 MEMBER OKAMOTO: I just want to make a 5 Defense. 6 6 MR. KALEPA: And, you know, we want -- we need correction for the record. Lanai does not have -- as 7 7 far as I know, any Ocean Safety would come under the the support of the commission to help make decisions 8 8 Fire Department or the DLNR. that sometimes may be -- may not give -- happen for us 9 9 MEMBER DEREGO: Thank you. politically, but we know it's -- as far as the public 10 CHAIR STONE: Commissioner Crivello. 10 safety service, it's the right thing to do. And 11 MEMBER CRIVELLO: I think there needs to be 11 sometimes we need to -- more heads. We need a 12 information, also, that the Civil Defense is not under 12 commission to help digest, you know, the answers. 13 13 the authority of the Fire and Public Safety Commission. MEMBER DELEON: Mr. Chair? 14 14 CHAIR STONE: Commissioner DeLeon. Mr. Kalepa, mahalo, for joining us this 15 evening. I would like to know, in your years being with 15 MEMBER DELEON: You know, I think this is a 16 16 complicated issue that we're going to need to bring in the Ocean Safety, under the Parks and Recreation, is 17 17 resources and really sit down and pull the strings there a plan within the present department, some sort of 18 dialogue that's going on now with the Fire Department to 18 apart. 19 19 MEMBER DEREGO: Yeah. consider those changes? And what islands, if I 20 20 MEMBER DELEON: And, you know, diagram it and understand right, perhaps maybe Kauai or the Big Island, 21 would be under -- what islands have the Ocean Safety 21 take a look at, you know, how the arrangement would work 22 22 under the jurisdiction of the Fire and Public Safety out and the way it should be brought back together. And Commission? Would you know? 23 23 trying to do it here tonight might be kind of --24 MR. KALEPA: Yeah. Kauai County has been 24 MEMBER DEREGO: Exactly. 25 under the Department of Fire -- Kauai's Ocean Safety has 25 MEMBER DELEON: Let's do it in a little more Page 68 1 been under the Fire Department for the last 11 years. 1 organized manner, I think. Thank you. 2 Big Island has been under the Fire Department for two 2 MR. KALEPA: Thank you. 3 3 CHAIR STONE: Commissioners, any further years. The City and County of Honolulu, Ocean Safety is 4 clarification? under the Department of Public Safety, and they're with 5 5 the medics and Ocean Safety. I do know that there was (Silence.) 6 an evaluation of ocean -- City and County of Honolulu 6 CHAIR STONE: Thank you so much for your 7 7 testimony tonight, Mr. Kalepa. Much appreciated. Thank Ocean Safety. And within 30 days, they're going to make 8 a determination whether Ocean Safety should move to the 8 you for coming. 9 9 Fire Department or not. But the City and County of Lisa, do we have any more public testifiers? 10 10 MS. KAHUHU: No one is signed up. Honolulu's Ocean Safety division is in a public safety 11 service. 11 CHAIR STONE: No one is signed up. I'll put 12 12 the question out to the crowd if anybody would like to And that's what I'm trying to say, is that we 13 13 come forward, you're welcome to come forward now. need to fall into a public safety service. Because we 14 14 (Silence.) shouldn't be competing with lawnmowers and weed-eaters 15 15 CHAIR STONE: Okay. Thank you. So, from a public safety standpoint, when we need jet skis 16 16 Commissioners, I believe that we had further questions and, you know, trained ocean safety professionals. It's 17 17 -- it's night and day. for our previous testifier. Mr. Soares, if he --18 Commissioners, do we have further questions for 18 And so I'm gonna leave it at that. 19 19 Mr. Soares? MEMBER DEREGO: May I make a comment? 20 20 CHAIR STONE: Commissioner DeLeon [sic]. (Silence.) 21 21 CHAIR STONE: No, okay. Sorry, Mr. Soares. MEMBER DEREGO: I would like to clarify what I 22 said. On Page 30, on Chapter 16 of the Charter, it 22 Didn't mean to prematurely call you up. 23 23 MEMBER DELEON: Want to talk some more, Butch? actually does say -- maybe they don't have 24 CHAIR STONE: Okay. Oh, actually, we do have 24 administrative over the Civil Defense Agency, but it 25 says the Fire and Public Safety Commission as a question for you, Mr. Soares.

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

2

13

22

24

25

MEMBER SUGIMURA: Soares.

MEMBER DEREGO: Soares.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 CHAIR STONE: Soares. Commissioner Hedani.

VICE-CHAIR HEDANI: Hi, Butch. You mentioned that, as part of the duties -- one of the duties that you had was to -- while serving on the Fire and Public Safety Commission was to select the chief. Could you explain to us what that process was and how many candidates you went through? Because I think one of the

candidates that didn't make it suggested that it be dissolved or --

12 MR. SOARES: Here we go, Wayne. I got to 13 choose my words very, very carefully. Okay. Once we were aware that Chief Kaupalolo was going to retire, 14 15 that was in late -- in late November or early December. 16 then we put out a notice. And my recollection was it was a notice in The Maui News and the Advertiser. So advising people that the County was looking for 19 candidates to be chief. We had nine applicants. We had 20 a scoring process and we had a interview process where 21 we had 20 questions and each of the commissioners would 22 evaluate the candidate's answers to the question scoring

23 accordingly. And then we tabulated it to find out who 24 was going to be the chief. In the first go-around, we 25 eliminated seven, one of which was the gentleman that severity of the task, we wanted to make sure that when we walked away, we had picked the right person.

And I guess, from my experience in going through selecting the Police Chief, we did -- we did some of the things that I recall from selecting the chief of police. The better points is what we incorporated.

And we must have done a pretty good job because, a few months after we got to select the Fire Chief, we got a call, and the Fire Chief and myself were put on a committee to select the Fire Chief for the airport. They took our questions, incorporated it somehow or another. And we interviewed, I don't know, five or six candidates for the Fire Chief for Kahului Airport. Kahului Airport Fire Chief is not part of Maui County

CHAIR STONE: Thank you, Mr. Soares. Thank you very much. Commissioners, any further questions or clarifications?

CHAIR STONE: No. Thank you so much.

22 MR. SOARES: Can I make one comment, you know, 23

relative to Archie Kalepa's statement? Okay? 24

CHAIR STONE: Go ahead.

MR. SOARES: We were approached two months ago

testified to elimination of the commission. Okay. Then 1

2 what we did was -- and we had two other candidates

3 that -- the process was going to be that we would ask

4 them the questions, we would score them accordingly and

if possible, we would need to call them back. So we called two back. And we asked them for clarification on

certain of their answers. And after going through that process, we had a vote of who the chief would be. And,

naturally, the -- the chief in place today is -- was the

selection.

Personally, I feel we made the right selection. There were a couple candidates that were very interesting. Two of the candidates were not with the Police Department [sic] and -- and they had -- they had retired and then considered coming back as chief. It was two.

CHAIR STONE: It was Fire Department.

MR. SOARES: I'm sorry. Fire Department, I'm sorry. Yeah. So out of the nine, two of them were not with the department. And the two that were not with the department didn't score, you know, in the top two. So, unfortunately, they were eliminated, also. But I think the process that we had and -- and, you know, Stacy was part of it. There were times where it seemed like it was complicated, but we felt that with the -- with the

Page 72

Page 71

1 to the fact that the mayor wanted the Fire Department to

-- to merger with the aquatic group. I should say not

3 aquatic group, because the aquatic -- the aquatic --

there's two -- two sections. One takes care of the

5 pools and the others take care of the beach, the

lifeguards. When we found out about it, we had

7 questions. And two meetings ago, we had the mayor, with

John Buck and another person, to come to our meeting and

9 explain the pros and the cons of this merger. And I

10 think what the final resolution was is that we feel that 11 a committee should be formed of firemen, and the County

12 Administration, and somebody from the Parks would come

by and explain the pros and the cons of this merger.

14 And right now, the concern that the Fire

15 Department has is twofold. Number one: We, meaning the

16 Fire Department, is right in the middle -- or not quite

17 in the middle, but they're about one-third of the way in

18 the process of getting the Fire Department accredited.

19 I don't know if any of you are familiar with the

20 accreditation process. It can go anywhere from two

21 and-a-half to four years. And you have to present reams

of paper, history reports, et cetera, before you can get

23 accredited.

> The Police Department was accredited, I want to say, about 10 or 15 years ago. That was right at the

	Page 73		Page 75
1	time of Howard Tagomori's term expiring. But with the	1	from the Mayor's Office, and we need to get something in
2	accreditation, the department gets tremendous recommend	2	writing, I think, to talk about that merger that they
3	recommendations or or respect nationwide.	3	were talking about. If the Mayor's recommending a
4	And there's some benefits as far as insurance and I	4	merger, something must be in writing at this point. And
5	don't know all of it, but there are benefits to it.	5	that would help get some clarity on this for us. So I
6	And and when you are accredited, when you	6	think it would be good to see that. And I really do
7	recruit policemen and/or firemen, there are certain	7	think we need to take this apart bit by bit so that we
8	minimal standards. So the standards are going to be	8	can understand it better. That's all. I just think we
9	improved. You know, we're gonna get a better quality of	9	need to find the documentation.
10	firemen. And we going to get firemen that are are	10	CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Okay, thank you.
11	probably a little more familiar with, you know, public	11	Lisa, just a point of fact, when is our next scheduled
12	dealing with the public and just not responding to a	12	meeting, please?
13	fire. And speaking off the top.	13	MS. KAHUHU: July 25th.
14	CHAIR STONE: Thank you.	14	CHAIR STONE: July 25th. That is
	MR. SOARES: End of story.	15	MS. KAHUHU: Monday.
15	-	16	CHAIR STONE: Location, please?
16	CHAIR STONE: Thank you very much, Mr. Soares.		MS. KAHUHU: At the Planning Conference Room.
17	Thank you.	17 18	
18	So thanks so much for your testimony. Thank		CHAIR STONE: Planning Conference Room, July
19	you for being here this evening. It's very much	19	25th, Monday
20	appreciated.	20	MEMBER DELEON: We have Lanai public hearing
21	(Applause.)	21	MS. KAHUHU: The next regular meeting is the
22	CHAIR STONE: And, Commissioners, thank you	22	25th. We'll be on Lanai on July 20th, which is a
23	for your patience as well. Appreciate it. I want to	23	Wednesday, at 7:00 p.m.
24	say thanks to all of our testifiers for coming this	24	CHAIR STONE: Okay. July 20th, Wednesday, on
25	evening.	25	Lanai.
	Page 74		Page 76
1	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this	1	Page 76  MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center.
1 2		1 2	-
	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this		MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center.
2	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any	2	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community
2	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?	2 3	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting.
2 3 4	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)	2 3 4	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming
2 3 4 5	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now	2 3 4 5	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely
2 3 4 5 6	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now closed.	2 3 4 5 6	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely valuable to the process and will have an impact on
2 3 4 5 6 7	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now closed. END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely valuable to the process and will have an impact on Maui's future.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now closed. END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY  CHAIR STONE: Without objection, the Chair	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely valuable to the process and will have an impact on Maui's future. Without objection, I'll now adjourn this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now closed. END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY  CHAIR STONE: Without objection, the Chair will accept and file all public communications into the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely valuable to the process and will have an impact on Maui's future. Without objection, I'll now adjourn this meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now closed. END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY  CHAIR STONE: Without objection, the Chair will accept and file all public communications into the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely valuable to the process and will have an impact on Maui's future. Without objection, I'll now adjourn this meeting. And thanks so much for Akaku, for being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now closed. END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY  CHAIR STONE: Without objection, the Chair will accept and file all public communications into the record.  And we have a little extra time right now.  Actually, hang on one second. Commissioners, we have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely valuable to the process and will have an impact on Maui's future. Without objection, I'll now adjourn this meeting. And thanks so much for Akaku, for being here. It's very much appreciated. Thank you. Meeting adjourned.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now closed. END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY  CHAIR STONE: Without objection, the Chair will accept and file all public communications into the record.  And we have a little extra time right now.  Actually, hang on one second. Commissioners, we have the option to go into discussion period about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely valuable to the process and will have an impact on Maui's future. Without objection, I'll now adjourn this meeting. And thanks so much for Akaku, for being here. It's very much appreciated. Thank you. Meeting adjourned. Oh, and before also, we have a councilwoman
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now closed. END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY  CHAIR STONE: Without objection, the Chair will accept and file all public communications into the record.  And we have a little extra time right now.  Actually, hang on one second. Commissioners, we have the option to go into discussion period about the testimony we've heard this evening. Everybody looks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely valuable to the process and will have an impact on Maui's future. Without objection, I'll now adjourn this meeting. And thanks so much for Akaku, for being here. It's very much appreciated. Thank you. Meeting adjourned. Oh, and before also, we have a councilwoman here that I haven't recognized, Elle Cochran. Thank you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now closed. END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY  CHAIR STONE: Without objection, the Chair will accept and file all public communications into the record.  And we have a little extra time right now.  Actually, hang on one second. Commissioners, we have the option to go into discussion period about the testimony we've heard this evening. Everybody looks pretty tired, so I am going to I'm going to skip that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely valuable to the process and will have an impact on Maui's future. Without objection, I'll now adjourn this meeting. And thanks so much for Akaku, for being here. It's very much appreciated. Thank you. Meeting adjourned. Oh, and before also, we have a councilwoman here that I haven't recognized, Elle Cochran. Thank you so much for coming and taking your valuable time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now closed. END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY  CHAIR STONE: Without objection, the Chair will accept and file all public communications into the record.  And we have a little extra time right now.  Actually, hang on one second. Commissioners, we have the option to go into discussion period about the testimony we've heard this evening. Everybody looks pretty tired, so I am going to I'm going to skip that option.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely valuable to the process and will have an impact on Maui's future. Without objection, I'll now adjourn this meeting. And thanks so much for Akaku, for being here. It's very much appreciated. Thank you. Meeting adjourned. Oh, and before also, we have a councilwoman here that I haven't recognized, Elle Cochran. Thank you so much for coming and taking your valuable time. MS. COCHRAN: Thank you folks, all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now closed. END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY  CHAIR STONE: Without objection, the Chair will accept and file all public communications into the record.  And we have a little extra time right now.  Actually, hang on one second. Commissioners, we have the option to go into discussion period about the testimony we've heard this evening. Everybody looks pretty tired, so I am going to I'm going to skip that option.  MEMBER DELEON: Can I make a remark, Chair?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely valuable to the process and will have an impact on Maui's future. Without objection, I'll now adjourn this meeting. And thanks so much for Akaku, for being here. It's very much appreciated. Thank you. Meeting adjourned. Oh, and before also, we have a councilwoman here that I haven't recognized, Elle Cochran. Thank you so much for coming and taking your valuable time. MS. COCHRAN: Thank you folks, all. CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Everybody have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now closed. END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY  CHAIR STONE: Without objection, the Chair will accept and file all public communications into the record.  And we have a little extra time right now.  Actually, hang on one second. Commissioners, we have the option to go into discussion period about the testimony we've heard this evening. Everybody looks pretty tired, so I am going to I'm going to skip that option.  MEMBER DELEON: Can I make a remark, Chair?  CHAIR STONE: Yes. Sure. Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely valuable to the process and will have an impact on Maui's future. Without objection, I'll now adjourn this meeting. And thanks so much for Akaku, for being here. It's very much appreciated. Thank you. Meeting adjourned. Oh, and before also, we have a councilwoman here that I haven't recognized, Elle Cochran. Thank you so much for coming and taking your valuable time. MS. COCHRAN: Thank you folks, all. CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Everybody have a safe drive. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now closed. END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY  CHAIR STONE: Without objection, the Chair will accept and file all public communications into the record.  And we have a little extra time right now.  Actually, hang on one second. Commissioners, we have the option to go into discussion period about the testimony we've heard this evening. Everybody looks pretty tired, so I am going to I'm going to skip that option.  MEMBER DELEON: Can I make a remark, Chair?  CHAIR STONE: Yes. Sure. Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely valuable to the process and will have an impact on Maui's future. Without objection, I'll now adjourn this meeting. And thanks so much for Akaku, for being here. It's very much appreciated. Thank you. Meeting adjourned. Oh, and before also, we have a councilwoman here that I haven't recognized, Elle Cochran. Thank you so much for coming and taking your valuable time. MS. COCHRAN: Thank you folks, all. CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Everybody have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now closed. END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY  CHAIR STONE: Without objection, the Chair will accept and file all public communications into the record.  And we have a little extra time right now.  Actually, hang on one second. Commissioners, we have the option to go into discussion period about the testimony we've heard this evening. Everybody looks pretty tired, so I am going to I'm going to skip that option.  MEMBER DELEON: Can I make a remark, Chair?  CHAIR STONE: Yes. Sure. Commissioner  DeLeon.  MEMBER DELEON: I think what Butch said at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely valuable to the process and will have an impact on Maui's future. Without objection, I'll now adjourn this meeting. And thanks so much for Akaku, for being here. It's very much appreciated. Thank you. Meeting adjourned. Oh, and before also, we have a councilwoman here that I haven't recognized, Elle Cochran. Thank you so much for coming and taking your valuable time. MS. COCHRAN: Thank you folks, all. CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Everybody have a safe drive. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now closed. END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY  CHAIR STONE: Without objection, the Chair will accept and file all public communications into the record.  And we have a little extra time right now.  Actually, hang on one second. Commissioners, we have the option to go into discussion period about the testimony we've heard this evening. Everybody looks pretty tired, so I am going to I'm going to skip that option.  MEMBER DELEON: Can I make a remark, Chair?  CHAIR STONE: Yes. Sure. Commissioner  DeLeon.  MEMBER DELEON: I think what Butch said at the end	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely valuable to the process and will have an impact on Maui's future. Without objection, I'll now adjourn this meeting. And thanks so much for Akaku, for being here. It's very much appreciated. Thank you. Meeting adjourned. Oh, and before also, we have a councilwoman here that I haven't recognized, Elle Cochran. Thank you so much for coming and taking your valuable time. MS. COCHRAN: Thank you folks, all. CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Everybody have a safe drive. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now closed. END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY  CHAIR STONE: Without objection, the Chair will accept and file all public communications into the record.  And we have a little extra time right now.  Actually, hang on one second. Commissioners, we have the option to go into discussion period about the testimony we've heard this evening. Everybody looks pretty tired, so I am going to I'm going to skip that option.  MEMBER DELEON: Can I make a remark, Chair?  CHAIR STONE: Yes. Sure. Commissioner  DeLeon.  MEMBER DELEON: I think what Butch said at the end  CHAIR STONE: One second. You need a mic.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely valuable to the process and will have an impact on Maui's future. Without objection, I'll now adjourn this meeting. And thanks so much for Akaku, for being here. It's very much appreciated. Thank you. Meeting adjourned. Oh, and before also, we have a councilwoman here that I haven't recognized, Elle Cochran. Thank you so much for coming and taking your valuable time. MS. COCHRAN: Thank you folks, all. CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Everybody have a safe drive. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now closed. END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY  CHAIR STONE: Without objection, the Chair will accept and file all public communications into the record.  And we have a little extra time right now.  Actually, hang on one second. Commissioners, we have the option to go into discussion period about the testimony we've heard this evening. Everybody looks pretty tired, so I am going to I'm going to skip that option.  MEMBER DELEON: Can I make a remark, Chair?  CHAIR STONE: Yes. Sure. Commissioner  DeLeon.  MEMBER DELEON: I think what Butch said at the end  CHAIR STONE: One second. You need a mic.  MEMBER DELEON: What Butch mentioned at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely valuable to the process and will have an impact on Maui's future. Without objection, I'll now adjourn this meeting. And thanks so much for Akaku, for being here. It's very much appreciated. Thank you. Meeting adjourned. Oh, and before also, we have a councilwoman here that I haven't recognized, Elle Cochran. Thank you so much for coming and taking your valuable time. MS. COCHRAN: Thank you folks, all. CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Everybody have a safe drive. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And I'm going to close oral testimony at this time, without any objections or comments. Any Commissioners, comments?  (Silence.)  CHAIR STONE: No. Oral testimony is now closed. END OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY  CHAIR STONE: Without objection, the Chair will accept and file all public communications into the record.  And we have a little extra time right now.  Actually, hang on one second. Commissioners, we have the option to go into discussion period about the testimony we've heard this evening. Everybody looks pretty tired, so I am going to I'm going to skip that option.  MEMBER DELEON: Can I make a remark, Chair?  CHAIR STONE: Yes. Sure. Commissioner  DeLeon.  MEMBER DELEON: I think what Butch said at the end  CHAIR STONE: One second. You need a mic.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. KAHUHU: Lanai Community Center. CHAIR STONE: 7:00 p.m., Lanai Community Center. That's our next public outreach meeting. I would like to thank everyone for coming tonight. All of your time and input is extremely valuable to the process and will have an impact on Maui's future. Without objection, I'll now adjourn this meeting. And thanks so much for Akaku, for being here. It's very much appreciated. Thank you. Meeting adjourned. Oh, and before also, we have a councilwoman here that I haven't recognized, Elle Cochran. Thank you so much for coming and taking your valuable time. MS. COCHRAN: Thank you folks, all. CHAIR STONE: Thank you. Everybody have a safe drive. Thank you.

			ď
	Page 77	•	2000
1	CERTIFICATE		
2	CERTIFICATE		
3			
4	I, TONYA MCDADE, Certified Court Reporter of		
5	the State of Hawaii, do hereby certify that the		
6	proceedings contained herein were taken by me in machine		
7	shorthand and thereafter was reduced to print by means		
8	of computer-aided transcription; and that the foregoing		
9	represents, to the best of my ability, a true and		
10	accurate transcript of the proceedings had in the		
11	foregoing matter.		
12	I further certify that I am not an attorney		
13	nor an employee of any of the parties hereto, nor in any		
14	way concerned with the cause.		-
15 16	DATED this 29th day of July, 2011.		diminino.
17	James mexico		Appropriate Comments
18	James James		
10	Tonya McDade		1
19	Certified Shorthand Reporter #447		
	Registered Professional Reporter		
20	Certified Realtime Reporter		
	Certified Broadcast Reporter		
21			
22			
23			
24			111001111
25			***************************************
			2000
	•		
			Comments.
			Contract of
	·		
	,		\$